

Reconstruction theorem - last part: (820)

goal: $f \in \mathcal{D}^s$

$$|\langle Rf - \Pi_x(f(x)), \varphi_x^\lambda \rangle| \lesssim \lambda^\delta$$

$$\Delta \|f(x) - \Gamma_{xy} f(y)\|_p \lesssim |x-y|^{s-\beta}$$

Proof. Define $f_x(y) = \Gamma_{yx} f(x)$.

Then

$$\textcircled{\text{Do:}} R^{(n)} f_x = \varphi^{(n)} * \Pi_x f(x)$$

so taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$
we see that

$$Rf_x = \Pi_x(f(x)).$$

$$\text{So goal becomes } |\langle R(f - f_x), \varphi_x^\lambda \rangle| \leq \lambda^\delta.$$

In fact,

$$R^{(n,n)}(f - f_x)(y)$$

$$= \langle \Pi_y(f - f_x), \varphi_y^{(n)} \rangle$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{\alpha < s} 2^{-\alpha n} |y-x|^{s-\alpha}$$

$$\text{because } \|(f - f_x)(y)\|_\alpha \lesssim |y-x|^{s-\alpha}$$

$$\lesssim 2^{-\alpha_0 n} (|y-x|^{s-\alpha_0} + 2^{(\alpha_0-s)n})$$

where α_0 is the minimum degree in k .

Then the second part of the lemma we proved last time shows the conclusion.

Recall: $R^{(n,m)} f(y) = (\varphi^{(n,m)} * \Pi_y f)(y)$

$$R^{(n,m)} f(y) = (\rho^{(n,m-1)} * R^{(n,m)} f)(y)$$

$$R^{(n)} f = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} R^{(n,m)} f.$$

$$R f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R^{(n)} f.$$

$$f \in C^{\infty} \quad x \in (2,3)$$

$$f(x) = \underbrace{f(x)}_1 + f'(x)x + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)x^2.$$

$$R f(x) = f(x)$$

Reconstruction shows:

$$\begin{aligned} R f(y) &= \Pi(f(x)) \stackrel{u}{=} f(y) = \left[f(x) + f'(x)(y-x) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)(y-x)^2 \right] \\ &= O(|y-x|^3). \end{aligned}$$

Operations on regularity structures

Motivation: KPZ eqn

$$\partial_t h - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \Delta h}_{\text{linear part}} = \underbrace{(\partial_x h)^2}_{\text{nonlinearity}} + \xi$$

need to represent:

- differentiation.
- multiplication (squaring)
- convolution w/ heat kernel

Differentiation. Recall a sector of a regularity structure is a subspace $V \subseteq T$ s.t. V is itself a RS.

Defn If $V \subseteq T$ is a sector, then a lin. op. $\underline{\partial}: V \rightarrow T$ realizes ∂_x for a model (Π, Γ) if

- $\underline{\partial} \tau \in T_{\alpha-1} \quad \forall \tau \in T_{\alpha} \cap V$
- $\Gamma \underline{\partial} \tau = \underline{\partial} \Gamma \tau \quad \forall \tau \in V, \Gamma \in G.$

$$\bullet \Pi_x(\underline{\partial} \tau)(y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\Pi_x \tau)(y) \quad \forall \tau \in V.$$

DD: check for polynomials $\underline{\partial} x^k = k x^{k-1}$ satisfies this

Prop. Let $f \in D^{\delta}(V)$. Then

$$\underline{\partial} f \in D^{\delta-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \boxed{R \underline{\partial} f = \partial_x R f}$$

Part of the proof Check second claim. goal: $\forall$ test fns $\psi, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$|\langle \Pi_x \underline{\partial} f(x) - \partial_x R f, \psi_x^1 \rangle| \leq \lambda^{\delta}.$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

To see this, write

$$\underbrace{\langle \Pi_x \phi(x) - \partial_x R \phi, \psi_x \rangle}_{\partial_x \Pi_x \phi(x)}$$

$$= - \langle \Pi_x \phi(x) - R \phi, \partial_x \psi_x \rangle$$

$$\leq \lambda^{s-1}$$

So proof is complete w/ $s = s - 1 > 0$.

Multiplication Given two sectors V_1, V_2 ,

a product $\star$ is a bilinear map

$$\star: V_1 \times V_2 \rightarrow T \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \tau_1 \in V_1 \cap T_\alpha \\ \tau_2 \in V_2 \cap T_\beta \end{array}$$

$$\text{then } \tau_1 \star \tau_2 \in T_{\alpha+\beta}$$

$$\text{and for any } \Gamma \in G, \quad \Gamma(\tau_1 \star \tau_2) = \Gamma \tau_1 \star \Gamma \tau_2$$

Do: for poly RS, the usual product is a product in this sense.

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{D}_\alpha^s = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{D}^s : \begin{array}{l} \phi(x) \in \bigoplus_{\beta \geq \alpha} T_\beta \\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Theorem. If } \begin{array}{l} \phi_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_1}^{s_1} \\ \phi_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_2}^{s_2} \end{array}$$

$$\text{then } \phi_1 \star \phi_2 \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha^s$$

$$\text{with } \alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$$

$$s = (s_1 + s_2) \wedge (s_2 + \alpha_1)$$

Examples.

$$\left(f_1 + f'_1 x + \frac{1}{2} f''_1 x^2 \right) \left(g_1 + g'_1 x + \frac{1}{2} g''_1 x^2 \right)$$

$$= (f_1 g_1) + \dots + \frac{1}{2} (f_1 g''_1) x^2$$

$$\left(\overset{\nearrow \alpha < 0}{f_1} \underline{\underline{z}}_1 + \overset{\nearrow \beta > 0}{f_2} \underline{\underline{z}}_2 \right) \star \left(\overset{\nearrow \alpha < 0}{g_1} \underline{\underline{z}}_1 + \overset{\nearrow \beta > 0}{g_2} \underline{\underline{z}}_2 \right)$$

$$(f_1 g_1) (\underline{\underline{z}}_1 \star \underline{\underline{z}}_1) + \underbrace{(f_1 g_2)}_{\text{has regularity of } g_2} (\underline{\underline{z}}_1 \star \underline{\underline{z}}_2)$$

$\alpha + \beta < \beta$

Integration against the heat kernel.

goal: if we have a kernel K that improves regularity by β ($= 2$ for heat kernel)

we want to lift it to an operator

$$K: \mathcal{D}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\alpha+\beta}$$

$$\text{s.t. } RK \neq K * R \neq.$$

for heat kernel
space-time
convolution

First step: introduce some operator $\tilde{I}$ on T (really $\tilde{I}: V \rightarrow T$ for some sector V)

$$\text{s.t. } \tilde{I} V_\alpha \subseteq T_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

This seems kind of bizarre because $\tilde{I} \mathbb{1} = ?$

maybe it should be spatially constant, so maybe involves something times $\mathbb{1} \dots ?$

again see something of degree 0. !

so to make $\tilde{I}$ have the desired degree cond, it does not by itself represent convolution, but rather convolution and then subtracting off the regular piece