# Math 218D Problem Session

Week 9

## **1.** Some simple examples

For each of the following matrices A,

- i) Find the characteristic polynomial  $p(\lambda) = \det(A \lambda I_2)$ .
- **ii)** Find all the *eigenvalues* by solving  $p(\lambda) = 0$ .
- iii) For each eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ , find a basis of the associated *eigenspace* Nul $(A \lambda_i I_2)$ .
- iv) An n×n matrix A is diagonalizable if and only if the dimensions of the eigenspaces add up to n. For these matrices, you may have one or two eigenspaces, depending on how many different roots p(λ) has.
   Is the matrix A diagonalizable? Is the matrix A diagonal?

a) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 b)  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$  c)  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  d)  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$   
e)  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  f)  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  g)  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

### **2.** A $2 \times 2$ diagonalization

Consider the matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- **a)** Compute the characteristic polynomial  $p(\lambda) = \det(A \lambda I_2)$ .
- **b)** Using the quadratic formula, find the two solutions to  $p(\lambda) = 0$ . The two solutions,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , are the two eigenvalues of *A*.
- **c)** Find the eigenvector  $v_1 = (x_1, y_1)$  by solving the eigenvector equation

$$(A - \lambda_1 I_2)v_1 = 0$$

Note that there is more than one solution—choose any non-zero solution.

**d)** Find the eigenvector  $v_2 = (x_2, y_2)$  by solving the eigenvector equation

$$(A-\lambda_2I_2)v_2=0.$$

- e) Diagonalize *A*, by making a matrix of eigenvalues  $D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , a matrix of eigenvectors  $C = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and confirming that  $A = CDC^{-1}$  by multiplying these three matrices.
- **f)** Compute the vector  $A^n(1,2)$ . **Hint:** Find scalars  $c_1, c_2$  so that  $(1,2) = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2$ . It may help to use the matrix  $C^{-1}$  to do this. Then use the formula  $A^n(c_1v_1 + c_2v_2) = c_1A^nv_1 + c_2A^nv_2$ .
- **g)** When *n* is very large,  $||A^{n+1}(1,2)||/||A^n(1,2)||$  is approximately \_\_\_\_\_.
- **h)** When *n* is very large,  $||A^{n+1}(1,1)||/||A^n(1,1)||$  is approximately \_\_\_\_\_ (this should be easier than **g**).)
- i) If you were given a random vector *w*, what would you expect  $||A^{n+1}w||/||A^nw||$  to approximate when *n* is very large?

#### **3.** Traces and determinants

Recall that the trace Tr(A) is the sum of the diagonal entries of A.

a) For each of the matrices in problem 1(a)–(f), factor  $p(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)$ . Verify that

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$
 and  $\det(A) = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2$ .

**b)** For any  $n \times n$  matrix, the polynomial  $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I_n)$  can be factored as

$$p(\lambda) = (-1)^n (\lambda - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n)$$

Verify that

$$\det(A) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n.$$

**Hint:** What happens to det $(A - \lambda I_n)$  when you set  $\lambda = 0$ ? What happens to  $(-1)^n (\lambda - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n)$  when you set  $\lambda = 0$ ?

**c)** The determinant det(*A*) has another product formula:

$$\det(A) = (-1)^k d_1 \cdots d_n,$$

when the *A* has REF with pivot entries  $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ , found using Gaussian elimination w/o row scaling and with *k* row swaps. Even though this formula looks quite similar to the formula of **b**), eigenvalues and pivots are not at all the same.

Find an example of a 2 × 2 matrix where the pivots  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  are not the same as the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ .

**d)** (Challenge) For any  $n \times n$  matrix, show that  $Tr(A) = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n$ .

## 4. Linear independence of eigenvectors

- a) Consider a matrix A with two distinct eigenvalues λ<sub>1</sub> ≠ λ<sub>2</sub>, with associated eigenvectors v<sub>1</sub> and v<sub>2</sub>. Show that v<sub>1</sub> is not a scalar multiple of v<sub>2</sub>.
  Hint: Suppose they were scalar multiples, v<sub>1</sub> = cv<sub>2</sub>. What happens when you multiply this equation by A?
- **b)** Consider a matrix *A* with three distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ , with associated eigenvectors  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$ . Show that  $v_1, v_2$ , and  $v_3$  are linearly independent. **Hint:** Suppose they were dependent,  $a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + a_3v_3 = 0$ , with  $a_3 \neq 0$ . Multiply this equation by *A*. Can you get a new linear dependence where  $a_3 = 0$ ?