

# Systems of ODEs

**Toy Example:** Here is an extremely simplistic model of disease spread:

$H(t)$  = # healthy people at time  $t$  (in years)

$I(t)$  = # infected people at time  $t$

$D(t)$  = # dead people at time  $t$

## Assumptions:

(1) Healthy people are infected at a rate of  $0.3 \times \# \text{ healthy people}$

(2) Infected people recover at a rate of  $0.9 \times \# \text{ infected people}$

(3) Infected people die at a rate of  $0.1 \times \# \text{ infected people}$

## In equations:

$$(1) \quad \frac{dH}{dt} = \overset{\text{infected}}{-0.3H} + \overset{\text{recovered}}{0.9I}$$

$$(2) \quad \frac{dI}{dt} = \overset{\text{infected}}{0.3H} - \overset{\text{recovered}}{0.9I} - \overset{\text{dead}}{0.1I}$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{dD}{dt} = \overset{\text{dead}}{0.1I}$$

Matrix Form: let  $u(t) = (H(t), I(t), D(t))$ .

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = u'(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3 & 0.9 & 0 \\ 0.3 & -0.9-0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

Def: A system of linear ordinary differential equations (ODEs) is a system of equations in unknown functions  $u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t)$  equating the derivatives  $u_i'$  with a linear combination of the  $u_i$ :

$$u_1'(t) = a_{11}u_1(t) + \dots + a_{1n}u_n(t)$$

$\vdots$

$$u_n'(t) = a_{n1}u_1(t) + \dots + a_{nn}u_n(t)$$

Matrix form: writing  $u(t) = (u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t))$  and  $u'(t) = (u_1'(t), \dots, u_n'(t))$ , a system of linear ODEs has the form

$$u'(t) = Au(t)$$

for an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$

(with numbers in it, not functions of  $t$ ).

If you also specify the initial value  $u(0) = u_0$ , this is called an initial value problem.

$\uparrow$   
some vector

How to solve a system of linear ODEs?

Diagonalize  $A$ !

Eg: Suppose  $u_0$  is an eigenvector of  $A$ :  $Au_0 = \lambda u_0$ .  
Then the solution of the initial value problem

$$u' = Au \quad u(0) = u_0 \quad \text{is} \quad u(t) = e^{\lambda t} u_0:$$

$$u'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} e^{\lambda t} \overset{\text{does not depend on } t}{u_0} = \lambda e^{\lambda t} u_0$$

$$Au(t) = A \overset{\text{scalar}}{e^{\lambda t}} u_0 = e^{\lambda t} Au_0 = \lambda e^{\lambda t} u_0$$

$$u(0) = e^{0t} u_0 = u_0 \quad \checkmark$$

In general, we expand  $u_0$  in an eigenbasis, as for difference equations:

$$u_0 = x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \omega_n \quad A\omega_i = \lambda_i \omega_i$$

$$\hookrightarrow u(t) = e^{\lambda_1 t} x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + e^{\lambda_n t} x_n \omega_n$$

is the solution of  $u' = Au$ ,  $u(0) = u_0$ .

Check:

$$u'(t) = \lambda_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e^{\lambda_n t} x_n \omega_n$$

$$Au(t) = e^{\lambda_1 t} x_1 A\omega_1 + \dots + e^{\lambda_n t} x_n A\omega_n$$

$$= \lambda_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e^{\lambda_n t} x_n \omega_n$$

$$u(0) = e^{0t} x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + e^{0t} x_n \omega_n = u_0 \quad \checkmark$$

Eg: In our infectious disease model, suppose  
 $u_0 = (1000, 1, 0)$  (1000 healthy people,  
1 infected, 0 dead)

Eigenvalues of  $A = \begin{pmatrix} -0.3 & .9 & 0 \\ 0.3 & -.1 & 0 \\ 0 & .1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  are

$$\lambda_1 \approx -.0235$$

$$\lambda_2 \approx -1.28$$

$$\lambda_3 = 0$$

Eigenvectors are

$$w_1 \approx \begin{pmatrix} 11.77 \\ -12.77 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad w_2 \approx \begin{pmatrix} -.765 \\ -.235 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad w_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solve  $u_0 = x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2 + x_3 w_3$ :

$$u_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \approx 18.70 w_1 - 1019.70 w_2 + 1001 w_3$$

Solution is:

$$u(t) = e^{-.0235t} \cdot 18.70 w_1 - e^{-1.28t} \cdot 1019.70 w_2 + 1001 w_3$$

$$H(t) = 220 e^{-.0235t} + 780 e^{-1.28t}$$

$$\Rightarrow I(t) = -238 e^{-.0235t} + 239 e^{-1.28t}$$

$$D(t) = 18.7 e^{-.0235t} - 1019.7 e^{-1.28t} + 1001$$

Looks like the human race is doomed...

## Procedure for solving a linear system of ODEs using diagonalization:

To solve  $u' = Au$ ,  $u(0) = u_0$  when  $A$  is diagonalizable:

- (1) Diagonalize  $A$ : get an eigenbasis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ .
- (2) Expand  $u_0$  in the eigenbasis:  
solve  $u_0 = x_1 w_1 + \dots + x_n w_n$

Solution:

$$u(t) = e^{\lambda_1 t} x_1 w_1 + \dots + e^{\lambda_n t} x_n w_n$$

Compare to:

## Procedure for solving a Difference Equation using diagonalization:

To solve  $v_{k+1} = Av_k$ ,  $v_0$  fixed when  $A$  is diagonalizable:

- (1) Diagonalize  $A$ : get an eigenbasis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ .
- (2) Expand  $v_0$  in the eigenbasis:  
solve  $v_0 = x_1 w_1 + \dots + x_n w_n$

Solution:

$$v_k = \lambda_1^k x_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n^k x_n w_n$$

This works fine with **complex eigenvalues**. As with difference equations, you can write the solution with **real numbers** using trig functions.

Eg:  $u_1'(t) = u_2, \quad u_2'(t) = -4u_1,$   
 $u_1(0) = 2 \quad u_2(0) = 0$

$$\hookrightarrow u' = Au \quad \text{for} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad u(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eigenvalues are  $\lambda = 2i, \quad \bar{\lambda} = -2i$

Eigenvectors are  $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2i \end{pmatrix} \quad \bar{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2i \end{pmatrix}$

Solve  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = x_1 w + x_2 \bar{w} \hookrightarrow x_1 = x_2 = 1$

Solution is  $(x_1 = x_2 = 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= e^{\lambda t} w + e^{\bar{\lambda} t} \bar{w} = 2\operatorname{Re}[e^{\lambda t} w] \\ &= 2\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{2it} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2i \end{pmatrix}\right] = 2\operatorname{Re}\left[(\cos(2t) + i\sin(2t)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2i \end{pmatrix}\right] \\ &= 2\operatorname{Re}\begin{pmatrix} \cos(2t) + i\sin(2t) \\ -2\sin(2t) + 2i\cos(2t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\cos(2t) \\ -4\sin(2t) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Check:  $u_1' = (2\cos(2t))' = -4\sin(2t) = u_2$   
 $u_2' = (-4\sin(2t))' = -8\cos(2t) = -4u_1$   
 $u_1(0) = 2 \quad u_2(0) = 0$  ✓

This method can also be used to solve (linear) ODEs containing higher-order derivatives.

Eg: Hooke's Law says the force applied by a spring is proportional to the amount it is stretched or compressed:



$$F(t) = -k p(t) \quad k > 0$$

$F = ma$ ,  $a = \text{acceleration} = p''$ : replace  $k$  by  $k/m$ :

$$p''(t) = -k p(t)$$

Trick: Let  $u_1 = p$ ,  $u_2 = p'$ . Then

$$u_1' = u_2 \quad u_2' = -k u_1$$

This is the system

$$u'(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -k & 0 \end{pmatrix} u(t)$$

We solved this before for  $k=4$ ,  $u(0) = (2, 0)$ :

$$p(t) = 2 \cos(2t)$$

$$p'(t) = -4 \sin(2t)$$

oscillation.

# The Matrix Exponential

There are 2 features missing from the ODEs picture that we had for difference equations:

(1) **Matrix form**:  $V_k = C D^k C^{-1} V_0$

(2) **Existence of solutions**:

it's obvious that  $V_k = A^k V_0$  has a solution  
— it was not obvious how to compute it.

Both can be filled in using the matrix exponential.

**Recall**: Using Taylor expansions, you can write

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{1}{2!}x^2 + \frac{1}{3!}x^3 + \dots \quad (\text{convergent sum})$$

**Def**: Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. The **matrix exponential** is the  $n \times n$  matrix

$$e^A = I_n + A + \frac{1}{2!}A^2 + \frac{1}{3!}A^3 + \dots \quad (\text{convergent sum})$$

**Eg**:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow A^2 = 0$ , so

$$e^{At} = I_2 + At + 0 + \dots = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



Eg:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow A^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^k & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^k \end{pmatrix}$ , so

$$e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 t & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 t \end{pmatrix} + \left( \frac{1}{2!} \lambda_1^2 t^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2!} \lambda_2^2 t^2 \end{pmatrix} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{3!} \lambda_1^3 t^3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3!} \lambda_2^3 t^3 \end{pmatrix} \right) + \dots$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_2 t} \end{pmatrix}$$

Why do we care about  $e^{At}$ ?

Fact:  $\frac{d}{dt} e^{At} = A e^{At}$

Consequence:  $u(t) = e^{At} u_0$  solves the linear ODE

$$u'(t) = A u(t) \quad u(0) = u_0$$

In particular, a solution exists.

The equations

$$u(t) = e^{At} u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_k = A^k v_0$$

are analogous: they both show a solution exists, but give you no way to compute it.

Eg: If  $A = CDC^{-1}$  is diagonalizable then

$$e^{At} = C e^{Dt} C^{-1} = C \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{pmatrix} C^{-1}$$

This is computable!

The equations

$$e^{At} = C C^{Dt} C^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad A^k = C D^k C^{-1}$$

are also analogous: they are computable!

In fact, if you expand out

$$u(t) = C e^{Dt} C^{-1} u_0$$

you exactly get the vector form

$$u(t) = e^{\lambda_1 t} x_1 w_1 + \dots + e^{\lambda_n t} x_n w_n$$

where  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C^{-1} u_0$ .

---

Difference Equation	Dictionary	Initial Value Problem
---------------------	------------	-----------------------

---

$V_{k+1} = A V_k$	$v_0$ fixed	Problem
$V_k = A^k V_0$	Uncomputable Solution	$u'(t) = A u(t)$
$V_k = \lambda_1^k x_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n^k x_n w_n$	Computable Solution	$u(t) = e^{At} u(0)$
for $v_0 = x_1 w_1 + \dots + x_n w_n$	(when diagonalizable)	for $u(0) = x_1 w_1 + \dots + x_n w_n$
$A^k = C D^k C^{-1}$	Matrix Form	$e^{At} = C e^{Dt} C^{-1}$

---