

# The Characteristic Polynomial, cont'd

Recall from last time:

- An **eigenvector** of  $A$  is a vector  $v$  such that  
 $Av = \lambda v$        $\lambda = \text{eigenvalue}$

- The  **$\lambda$ -eigenspace** is  
 $\text{Nul}(A - \lambda I_n) = \{\text{all } \lambda\text{-eigenvectors and } 0\}$

- The **characteristic polynomial** of  $A$  is  
 $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I_n)$

The eigenvalues are the solns of  $p(\lambda) = 0$ .

- We like eigenvectors because  
 $Av = \lambda v \Rightarrow A^k v = \lambda^k v$

so we can use these to solve the **difference eq<sup>n</sup>**

$$v_{k+1} = Av_k \rightsquigarrow v_k = A^k v_0$$

What kind of function is  $p(\lambda)$ ? What does it look like?

2x2 case:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A - \lambda I_2) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} a-\lambda & b \\ c & d-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = (a-\lambda)(d-\lambda) - bc \\ &= \lambda^2 - (a+d)\lambda + \underbrace{(ad-bc)}_{\det(A)}\end{aligned}$$

This is a polynomial of degree 2 (quadratic).

Def: The trace of a matrix  $A$  is

$\text{Tr}(A)$  = the sum of the diagonal entries of  $A$ .

Eg:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$   $\text{Tr}(A) = a + d$

Characteristic Polynomial of a 2x2 Matrix  $A$

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{Tr}(A)\lambda + \det(A)$$

NB:  $p(0) = \det(A - 0I_n) = \det(A)$

so the constant term is always  $\det(A)$ .

We know how to factor quadratic polynomials:  
the quadratic formula!

Eg: Find all eigenvalues of  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\text{Tr}(A) = 4 \quad \det(A) = 3$$

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 3 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{2}(4 \pm \sqrt{16 - 12}) = \frac{1}{2}(4 \pm 2) = 2 \pm 1$$

so the eigenvalues are 1 and 3.

General Form: If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then

$$p(\lambda) = (-1)^n \lambda^n + (-1)^{n-1} \text{Tr}(A) \lambda^{n-1} + (\text{other terms}) + \det(A)$$

→ This is a degree- $n$  polynomial

→ You only get the  $\lambda^{n-1}$  and constant coeffs "for free" — the rest are more complicated.

Eg:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 13 & 12 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow p(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{last time}}{=} -\lambda^3 + 0\lambda^2 + \frac{13}{4}\lambda + \frac{3}{2}$

$$\text{Tr}(A) = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0 \checkmark \quad \det(A) = -\frac{1}{4} \cdot (-\frac{12}{2}) = \frac{3}{2} \checkmark$$

Fact: A polynomial of degree  $n$  has at most  $n$  <sup>(zeros)</sup> roots

Consequence: An  $n \times n$  matrix has at most  $n$  eigenvalues.

How do we find the roots of a degree- $n$  polynomial?

- In real life: ask a computer

NB the computer will turn this back into an eigenvalue problem and will use a different (faster) eigenvalue-finding algorithm

- By hand: I won't ask you to factor any polynomials of degree  $\geq 3$  by hand.

NB: This is **not** a Gaussian elimination problem!

# Diagonalization

Solving a difference equation  $v_{k+1} = Av_k$  is easy when  $v_0$  is an eigenvector:

$$Av_0 = \lambda v_0 \Rightarrow v_k = A^k v_0 = \lambda^k v_0.$$

It is also easy if  $v_0$  is a linear combination of eigenvectors: suppose

$$v_0 = x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \omega_n \quad \text{where } A\omega_i = \lambda_i \omega_i.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_k &= A^k v_0 = A^k (x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \omega_n) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{no matrix} \\ \text{multiplication!} \end{array} \\ &= x_1 A^k \omega_1 + \dots + x_n A^k \omega_n = x_1 \lambda_1^k \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \lambda_n^k \omega_n. \end{aligned}$$

If  $A\omega_1 = \lambda_1 \omega_1$ ,  $A\omega_2 = \lambda_2 \omega_2$ , ...,  $A\omega_n = \lambda_n \omega_n$ , then

$$A^k (x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \omega_n) = \lambda_1^k x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + \lambda_n^k x_n \omega_n.$$

Rabbit Example Cont'd: We computed the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 13 & 12 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ has eigenvalues } 2, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$$

Compute eigenspaces (bases for  $\text{Nul}(A - \lambda I_3)$ ):

$$2: \text{Span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 32 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\} \quad -\frac{1}{2}: \text{Span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\} \quad -\frac{3}{2}: \text{Span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 18 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$$

Let's give names to some eigenvectors:

$$\omega_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 32 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \omega_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \omega_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 18 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Can we write our initial state  $v_0 = (16, 6, 1)$  as a LC of  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ ? Need to solve

$$\begin{pmatrix} 16 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 32 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 18 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Augmented matrix: } \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} 32 & 2 & 18 & 16 \\ 4 & -1 & -3 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{solve}} \begin{matrix} x_1 = 1 \\ x_2 = 1 \\ x_3 = -1 \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{So } v_0 = \omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow v_k &= A^k v_0 = 2^k \omega_1 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \omega_2 - \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \omega_3 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 32 \cdot 2^k + 2 \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k - 18 \cdot \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \\ 4 \cdot 2^k - \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k + 3 \cdot \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \\ 2^k + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k - \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

↑ closed form: no matrix multiplication

Observation 1:  $2^k \gg |(-\frac{1}{2})^k|$  and  $|(-\frac{3}{2})^k|$  for large  $k$

so  $A^k v_0 \sim 2^k \omega_1$  (most significant digits)

This explains why eventually,

- ratios converge to  $(32:4:1)$
- population roughly doubles each year

Observation 2:  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$  is linearly independent  
(this is automatic — more later)

$\xRightarrow[\text{thm}]{\text{basis}}$   $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$

$\Rightarrow$  any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a linear combination of  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$

So if  $v_0 = x_1 \omega_1 + x_2 \omega_2 + x_3 \omega_3$  then

$$\begin{aligned} A^k v_0 &= x_1 A^k \omega_1 + x_2 A^k \omega_2 + x_3 A^k \omega_3 \\ &= 2^k x_1 \omega_1 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k x_2 \omega_2 + \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^k x_3 \omega_3 \end{aligned}$$

So observation 1 holds for any initial state  $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Q: What if  $x_1 = 0$ ?

The fact that  $A$  has 3 LI eigenvectors means we can understand how  $A$  acts on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  entirely in terms of its eigenvectors & eigenvalues.

**Def:** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix.  $A$  is diagonalizable if it has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ . In this case,  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  is called an eigenbasis.

In this case, any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear combination of eigenvectors. Writing a vector as a LC of eigenvectors is called expanding in an eigenbasis.

→ This means solving the vector equation

$$v = x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2 + \dots + x_n w_n.$$

**Important!** When working with a diagonalizable matrix, everything is much easier if you expand your vectors in an eigenbasis!

# Procedure for Solving a Difference Equation:

Consider a difference equation

$$V_{k+1} = AV_k \quad \text{with initial state } v_0.$$

- (1) **Diagonalize**  $A$  to get an eigenbasis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ .

**Stop** if the matrix is not diagonalizable: this procedure fails.

- (2) **Expand**  $v_0$  in the eigenbasis: i.e., solve

$$v_0 = x_1 w_1 + \dots + x_n w_n$$

**Solution:**  $v_k = A^k v_0 = \lambda_1^k x_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n^k x_n w_n$

Of course, this only works if  $A$  is diagonalizable.

## Procedure for Diagonalizing a Matrix:

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

(1) Compute the characteristic polynomial

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I_n)$$

(2) Factor  $p(\lambda)$  to find the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

(3) Find a basis for each eigenspace.

(4) Combine your bases in (3).

- If you have  $n$  vectors, they form an **eigenbasis**.

- Otherwise,  $A$  is **not diagonalizable**.

**Eg:** We ran this procedure on  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 13 & 12 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  above.

Eg: Solve the difference equation

$$v_{k+1} = Av_k \quad \text{for} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 14 & -18 & -33 \\ -12 & 20 & 33 \\ 12 & -18 & -31 \end{pmatrix} \quad v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

First we diagonalize  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 14-\lambda & -18 & -33 \\ -12 & 20-\lambda & 33 \\ 12 & -18 & -31-\lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (14-\lambda) \det \begin{pmatrix} 20-\lambda & 33 \\ -18 & -31-\lambda \end{pmatrix} - 12(-1) \det \begin{pmatrix} -18 & -33 \\ -18 & -31-\lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + 12 \det \begin{pmatrix} -18 & -33 \\ 20-\lambda & 33 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \dots = -\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 - 4$$

Ask a computer for the roots:

$$p(\lambda) = -(\lambda-2)^2(\lambda+1) \quad \leftarrow \text{twice?}$$

So the eigenvalues are  $\lambda=2$  and  $\lambda=-1$ .

Let's find bases for eigenspaces:

$$\lambda=2: A-2I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & -18 & -33 \\ -12 & 18 & 33 \\ 12 & -18 & -33 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3/2 & -11/4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{PVE}} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 11/4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{basis}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 11/4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Let's clear denominators to make our lives easier:

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = -1: A + I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 15 & -18 & -33 \\ -12 & 21 & 33 \\ 12 & -18 & -30 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{PVE}} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{basis}} w_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have 3 eigenvectors  $w_1, w_2, w_3 \Rightarrow A$  is diagonalizable with eigenbasis

$$\{w_1, w_2, w_3\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Now we expand  $v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  in our eigenbasis:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{matrix}]{\text{aug}} \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} 3 & 11 & 1 & 6 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\hookrightarrow v_0 = -w_1 + w_2 - 2w_3$$

Now we're done:

$$v_k = A^k v_0 = -2^k w_1 + 2^k w_2 - 2(-1)^k w_3$$

$$= -2^k \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2^k \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} - 2(-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 8 \cdot 2^k - 2 \cdot (-1)^k \\ -2 \cdot 2^k + 2 \cdot (-1)^k \\ 4 \cdot 2^k - 2(-1)^k \end{pmatrix}$$

closed form: no matrix multiplication required!

NB:  $2^k \gg (-1)^k$ , so  $v_k \sim 2^k (w_2 - w_1) = 2^k \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$

Eg:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$   $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{Tr}(A)\lambda + \det(A)$   
 $= \lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1 = (\lambda - 1)^2$

shear ↗

The only eigenvalue is 1, and the 1-eigenspace is

$$\text{Nul}(A - I_2) = \text{Nul}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$$

We only got one eigenvector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and not two:

⇒ not diagonalizable! (all eigenvectors lie on the x-axis.)

So we can't use diagonalization to solve

$$v_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v_k.$$

NB: The shear should be your favorite example of a non-diagonalizable matrix.

Fact: A matrix with "random entries" will be diagonalizable.

In the diagonalization procedure, how did we know that when we combined our eigenbases we would get a linearly independent set of vectors?

**Fact:** If  $w_1, \dots, w_p$  are eigenvectors of  $A$  with different eigenvalues then  $\{w_1, \dots, w_p\}$  is L.I.

More generally, say

- $\{w_1, w_2\}$  is a basis for the  $\lambda_1$ -eigenspace
- $\{w_3\}$  is a basis for the  $\lambda_2$ -eigenspace.

Suppose  $x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2 + x_3 w_3 = 0$ .

- $x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2$  is in the  $\lambda_1$ -eigenspace
- Since  $(x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2) + x_3 w_3 = 0$ , the **Fact** implies  $x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2 = 0$  and  $x_3 w_3 = 0$  (so  $x_3 = 0$ )
- Since  $\{w_1, w_2\}$  is L.I., this implies  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ .

This shows  $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  is L.I. ✓

**Consequence:** If  $A$  has  $n$  (different) eigenvalues then  $A$  is diagonalizable.

Indeed, if  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are eigenvalues and

$$Aw_1 = \lambda_1 w_1, \dots, Aw_n = \lambda_n w_n$$

then  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  is an eigenbasis by the **Fact**.

**Proof of the Fact:** Say  $Aw_i = \lambda_i w_i$  and all of the  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$  are distinct. Suppose  $\{w_1, \dots, w_p\}$  is LD. Then for some  $i$ ,  $\{w_1, \dots, w_i\}$  is LI but  $w_{i+1} \in \text{Span}\{w_1, \dots, w_i\}$ , so

$$w_{i+1} = x_1 w_1 + \dots + x_i w_i$$

$$\Rightarrow Aw_{i+1} = A(x_1 w_1 + \dots + x_i w_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{i+1} w_{i+1} = \lambda_1 x_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_i x_i w_i$$

If  $\lambda_{i+1} = 0$  then  $\lambda_1 x_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_i x_i w_i = 0 \xrightarrow[\text{LI}]{\{w_1, \dots, w_i\}}$   $x_1 = \dots = x_i = 0$  (because  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_i \neq 0$ ), so  $w_{i+1} = 0$ , which can't happen because  $w_{i+1}$  is an eigenvector.

If  $\lambda_{i+1} \neq 0$  then

$$w_{i+1} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_{i+1}} x_1 w_1 + \dots + \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i+1}} x_i w_i$$

Subtract  $w_{i+1} = \quad x_1 w_1 + \dots + \quad x_i w_i$

$$\hookrightarrow 0 = \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_{i+1}} - 1\right) x_1 w_1 + \dots + \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i+1}} - 1\right) x_i w_i$$

But  $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_{i+1}$  for  $j \leq i$ , so  $\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_{i+1}} - 1 \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = \dots = x_i = 0$$

which is impossible, as before.

