Quadratic Optimization: Variant Lost time: we discussed Sinding the extremal (mind max) values of a quadratic form $q(x) = \sum_{i} a_{ij} X_i X_j$ subject to the constraint $|=||x||^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$. Subject to the Procedure: $q(x) = x^2 Sx$ for S symmetric orthogonality dissonalize: $S = QDQ^T D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ thanke variables: x = Qy $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge -2 = \lambda_1$ $\Rightarrow q(x) = \lambda y_1^2 + \dots + \lambda y_n^2$ Answeri maximum = λ_{r} , achieved at any unit λ_{r} -eigenvector maximum = λ_{r} , achieved at any unit λ_{r} -eigenvector Here's an (almost) equivalent variant of this problem that you can draw. Quadratiz Optimization Problem, Variant: Given a quadrator from q(x), find the minimum & maximum values of 1x12 subject to q(x)=1

So we switched the function were extremizing $(||x||^2)$ and the constraint (q|x|=1). In general the min/max may not exist. • $q(x_{1}, x_{2}) = -x_{1}^{2} - 2x_{2}^{2}$ there is no \times such that q(x)=1!x2 q(x)=1 • q(x,,x2)= x,2-x22: (C, JC²-1¹) there is no maximum IXI2 subject to glx)=1: ×, $q(C, \sqrt{C^2-1}) = 1$ for any (huge) C. (the min exists strength) Publem' g(x) may be O or negative! Des: A quadratiz form is positive-definite if q(k)>0 for all x+0. NB: If q(x)=x^TSx then q is positive-definite S is positive-definite: this is the positiveenergy criterion.

In this case, the problem is equivalent to the
previous ones as follows:
Recall:
$$q(cx) = c^2 q(x)$$

Fact: If q is positive - definite then
U maximizes $q(u)$
subject to $||u| = 1$
with maximum
value λ_1
U minimizes $q(u)$
subject to $||u| = 1$
with minimum
value λ_1
and
U minimizes $q(u)$
subject to $||u| = 1$
with minimum
value λ_n
U minimizes $q(u)$
subject to $||u| = 1$
with minimum
value λ_n
U minimizes $q(u)$
subject to $||u| = 1$
with minimum
value λ_n
Uhy? if $q(u) = \lambda >0$ and $x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}u$ then
 $||u||^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}u$
Lift λ is maximized then $||x||^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ is minimized
and $||x||^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ is minimized

So we know exactly how to solve this QO problem
Voricult: do the same procedure as in the original
QO problem, and take reciprocols.
Extremize
$$\|x\|^2$$
 subject to
 $q(x_1x_2) - \frac{5}{5}x_1^2 + \frac{5}{5}x_2^2 - x_1x_2 = 1$
Diagonalize: $q(x) = xTSx$
 $S = \frac{1}{5}\begin{pmatrix} 5 & -5 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = QDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = CDQT$ $Q = \frac{1}{55}\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = C$

beametric Interpretation Recall: An equation of the form $\lambda_1 \chi_1^2 + \lambda_2 \chi_2^2 = 1 \qquad (-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 0) \qquad (\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 0)$ (2=22>0) defines an ellipse. minor (0,-1) (This is a circle horizontally stretched by 1/SR. & vertically stretched by 1/SR.) If q(x, x) = 7,x2+ 2,x2 is dragonal & positive definite then q(x,x2)=1 defines the ellipse above, and extremizing ||x||= I subject to q(x)=1 amounts to finding the shortest (±x) & longest (±y) $|x|^{2} = 1/\lambda_{1}$ rectors on the ellipsc. $|y|^2 = 1/\lambda_2$ In general, $q(x) = \lambda_1 x_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_n x_n^2$ (all $\lambda_1 > 0$) defines an ellipsoid ("egg"); extremizing IXI2 subject to q(x)=1 means finding the shortest 8 longest vectors.

Non-diagonal case: q(x)=xTSx for S positive-definite. Let $\lambda \ge \lambda_2 > 0$ be the eigenvalues, u_1, u_2 orthonormal eigenvectors. Change variables: X=Qy Q=(4, 4) q(x)=1 $\lambda_i y_i^2 + \lambda_2 y_2^2 = 1$ (0, J.) multiply (0,, xe) , e, (1, 0) byQ $\frac{U_1 = Q_{e_1}}{U_2} = Q_{e_2}$ lyuy2)-plane (x, x2)-plane Upshot: q(x)=1 defines a (rotated) ellipse. The minor exis is in the u,-direction. -> The shortest vectors are the un The major axis is in the uz-direction. - The longest vectors are theur. So ve're drawn a picture of quadratic optimization problem (variant). Everything works in higher dimensions; just get rotated ellipsoids.



Additional Constraints

These come up naturally in practice (see the spectral graph theory problem on the HW) and in the PCA. "Second-largest value:

Suppose q/x) is maximized (subject to 1/x11=1) at U.. What is the maximum value of q(x) subject to ||x||=1 and x L U.?

This rules out the maximum value >> get "second largest" value.

How to solve this? • Write q(x) = x^TSx • Orthogonally diagonalize S= QDQT Suppose u, is the first column of Q (1^{it} λ,-eigenvec) • Set x=Qy u q = λiyi² + ... + λnyn² λi≥λz≥...≥λn Answer: The maximum value of qbx) subject to I|x||=| & x+u, is λz. It is achieved at any unit λz-eigenvector us that is Lu.

NB: If
$$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$$
 then $u_2 \perp U_1$ automotically.
Why?
• If $q = \lambda_1 y^2 + \dots + \lambda_n y_n^2$ is diagonal then
 $u_1 = e_1 = (1, 9)_n$ so $x \perp u_1$ means $y_1 = 0$
 u_2 extremizing $\lambda_2 y^2 + \lambda_3 y^2 + \dots + \lambda_n y_n^2$.
• Otherwise, change variables $x = Qy$.
 Q is arthogonal, so
 $y \cdot q = 0 \implies 0 = (Qy) \cdot (Qe_1) = x \cdot u_1$
 $\|y_1\| = 1 \implies 1 = \|Qy\| = \|x\|$
(relate constraints on $x \neq y$)
Eq: Find the largest and second largest values of
 $q(x) = 2\pi_1^2 + 2x^2 + 5x_3^2 + 2xx_2 - 8xx_3 + 8xx_3$
subject to $x^2 + x_3^2 + x_3^2 = 1$.
• $q = x^T 5x$ for $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$
• $S = QDQT$ for
 $Q = \begin{pmatrix} -1/R & V_1 & V/13 \\ V/6 & V/2 & -1/V5 \\ V/6 & V/2 & -1/V5 \end{pmatrix} D = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$

Largest value is q(x)=9 at $x=\pm f_0(\frac{1}{2})=\pm u_1$ Second-largest value: The maximum value of q(x) subject to $\|x\|=1 \otimes x \pm u_1$ is q(x)=3 achieved at $x=\pm f_0(\frac{1}{2})$ This also works for minimizing. Second-smallest value:

Suppose qlx) is minimized (subject to 1|x||=1) at Un. What is the minimum value of qlx) subject to [|x||=1 and x L Un? Answer: The minimum value of qlx) subject to [|x||=1 & x L Un is λ_{mi} . It is achieved at any unit λ_{mi} eigenvector U_{n-1} that is $L U_{n}$. (automatic if $\lambda_{mi} > \lambda_{m}$) You can keep going: Third-largest value: Suppose q/x) is maximized (subject to 1/x11=1) at u. and q(x) is maximized (subject to ||x||=1 and x-ly.) at Uz. What is the maximum value of 96c) subject to |x|=1 and x L u, and x L uz? NB: This "rules out" the largest & second-largest relues. Answer: The maximum value of qlx) subject to IIXII=1 & x+u, & x+uz is >3. It is achieved at any unit No-eigenvector Us that is LU, and uz. (automatic it $\lambda_2 > \lambda_3$) This also works for the variant problem, except you have to take reciprocals. Et cetera...

Quadratic Optimization for S=ATA This is what we'll use for PCA. Let S = ATA and q(x) = xTSx. Then q(x) = xTSx = xT(ATA)x = (xTAT)(Ax) $= (Ax)T(Ax) = (Ax) \cdot (Ax) = |Ax||^2$

$$S = A^T A \implies x^T S_X = ||A \times ||^2$$

In this case, extremizing g(x) subject to ||x||=1 means extremizing ||Axl² subject to ||x||=1. Procedure: to extremize ||Ax|1² subject to ||x|1=1: Orthogonally diagonalize S=ATA us orthonormal eigenboss {us...,un}, eigenvalues λ, ≥....≥λ, ≥0° ATA 13 the semidefinite • The largest value is λ , achieved at any unit & - eigenvector U. • The smallest value is λ_n , achieved at any unit λ_n -eigenvector U_n . · The second-largest value is N2, achieved at any unit λ_2 -eigenvector $u_2 \perp u_1$. o . etc.

NB: these are eigenvectors/eigenvalues of S=ATA,
not of A (which need not be square).
Def: The matrix non of a matrix A is
NAI = the newimum value of NAXI subject to
NXII=1.
So NAI=
$$\int_{X_1} = |argest eigenvalue of ATA.$$

Eg: Compute NAII for $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
 $ATA = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - 6\lambda + S = (\lambda - S)(\lambda - 1)$
the largest eigenvalue is $\lambda = S$, so $|AI| = IS$.
Eigenvector: $\begin{pmatrix} -b \\ a - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$
Unit eigenvector: $u_1 = \int_{S=1}^{S=1} \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} = \int_{S=1}^{L} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$
has length $\int_{S=1}^{L} \int_{T^2+\lambda^2+3^2+1}^{Z^2} = \int_{S=1}^{TO} = \int_{S}^{TS} \sqrt{12}$