Linear Independence of Eigenvectors Recall from last time: to diagonalize an non matrix  $A$ :  $10$  Compute  $p(\lambda) = det(A - \lambda T_n)$ 2) Solve  $\rho(\lambda) = U$  to find the eigenvalues <sup>3</sup> End <sup>a</sup> basis for each eigenspace  $(4)$  Combine all these bases. A If you endup with <sup>n</sup> hectors they're LI <sup>n</sup> Otherwise A is not diagonalizable In  $*$  we need to justify shy the eigenvectors are LI. Fact:  $\pi$   $w_0$   $\rightarrow$   $w_1$   $w_2$  are eigenvectors of A with different eigenvalues then  $\{w_5...$ ,  $w_9$ ? is  $LT$ . Here's how the Fact implies  $*$ . Suppose  $\sim$   $\{\omega_{\nu}, \omega_{z}\}$  is a basis for the  $\lambda_{i}$  eigenspace  $\cdot$   $\{v_3\}$  is a basis for the  $\lambda$ z eigenspace.  $I$  claim  $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  is LI.  $Suppose x, w_1+x_2w_2+x_3w_3=0$ . We need  $x_1=x_2-y_3=0$ .  $\bullet$  XIVI + X2U2 is in the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace  $\cdot$  Since  $(x,\omega_1 + x_2\omega_2) + x_3\omega_3 = 0$ , the Fact  $i$ mplies  $X_{i}u_{i}+x_{2}u_{2}=0$  and  $x_{3}u_{3}=0$  (so  $x_{3}=0$ )  $s$  Since  $\{u,v,s\}$  is LI, this implies  $x_i = x_s = 0$ 

Proof of the Fact: Say Any= $\lambda_1\omega_1 = \lambda_1\omega_1$ and all of the $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p$ are distinct. Suppose $\{w_1, \ldots, w_p\}$ is LD.\n
Then for some $i_1$ $\{w_{i_1}, \ldots, w_p\}$ is hI be of $\omega_{i_1} = x_1\omega_1 + \cdots + x_n\omega_1$
$\omega_{i_1} = x_1\omega_1 + \cdots + x_n\omega_1$
$\Rightarrow \lambda_{i_1}\cup \lambda_{i_1} = \lambda_i x_i\omega_1 + \cdots + x_n\omega_1$
$\Rightarrow \lambda_{i_1}\cup \lambda_{i_1} = \lambda_i x_i\omega_1 + \cdots + x_n\omega_1$
$\Rightarrow \lambda_{i_1}\cup \lambda_{i_1} = \lambda_i x_i\omega_1 + \cdots + x_n\omega_1$
$x_1 = \cdots = x_i = 0$ (because $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_i \neq 0$ ), so $\omega_{i_1} = 0$
which can't happen because $\omega_{i_1} = \beta$ or eigenvector.
If $\lambda_{i_1} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i_1}} x_i\omega_1 + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i_n}} x_i\omega_1$
Subhand $\omega_{i_1} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i_1}} x_i\omega_1 + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i_n}} x_i\omega_1$
But $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_{i_1} x_i\omega_1 + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i_n}} x_i\omega_1$
But $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_{i_1} x_i\omega_1 + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i_n}} x_i\omega_1$
But $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_{i_1} x_i$

Consequence: If A has n (different) eigenvalues then A is diagonalizable. Indeed, if  $\lambda_{y}$ -3  $\lambda_{n}$  are eigenvalues and  $A\omega_1 = \lambda_1 \omega_1, ..., A\omega_n = \lambda_n \omega_n$ then  $\{w_1,...,w_n\}$  is an eigenbasis by the Fact. We'll give a more ganeral criterion (AM/GM) next time.

Matrix Form of Diagonalized  
\n*Tom*: A is diagonalizable 
$$
C \Rightarrow
$$
 there exists an invertible matrix C and a diagonal matrix D such that  $A = CDC^{-1}$ 

\nIn this case, the codewes of C form on  
\nagambans a the diagonal entries of D are the  
\nconresponding eigenvalues.

\n
$$
C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 \\ 0 & \lambda_3 & \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix} A_{U_1^*} \Rightarrow \lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2 \cup \lambda_3 \cup \lambda_4
$$
\nEquation 2.12

\n
$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 13 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A = CDC^{-1} \Rightarrow C = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
\nEquation 2.13

\nEquation 3.14

\n
$$
C = \begin{pmatrix} 32 & 18 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A = CDC^{-1} \Rightarrow C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
\nEquation 3.14

\n
$$
C = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 18 & 10 \\ 12 & 10 & 10 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A = CDC^{-1} \Rightarrow C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Proof:

\n
$$
C\left(\begin{array}{c} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{array}\right) = x_{1}\omega_{1} + \cdots + x_{n}\omega_{n}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow C^{-1}(x_{1}\omega_{1} + \cdots + x_{n}\omega_{n}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{pmatrix}
$$
\nAny vector has the form  $v = x_{1}\omega_{1} + \cdots + x_{n}\omega_{n}$  and  $w = x_{1}\omega_{1} + \cdots + x_{n}\omega_{n}$  and  $w = x_{1}\omega_{1} + \cdots + x_{n}\omega_{n}$  and  $w = x_{2}\omega_{1} + \cdots + x_{n}\omega_{n}$ 

\n
$$
= C\left(\begin{array}{c} x_{1} \\ y \end{array}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ y_{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ u_{1} & u_{2} \\ u_{2} & u_{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{pmatrix} = x_{1}y_{1}\omega_{1} + \cdots + x_{n}y_{n}\omega_{n}
$$
\n
$$
= A(x_{1}\omega_{1} + \cdots + x_{n}\omega_{n}) = Av
$$
\n118:

\n
$$
F = (CDC^{-1})^{k} = (CDC^{-1})(CDC^{-1})
$$
\n
$$
= CD^{k}C^{-1} = C\begin{pmatrix} x_{1}^{k} & y_{2} \\ y_{2} & x_{2} \end{pmatrix} C^{-k}
$$
\nThe equation  $x_{2}^{k} = C^{k}C^{-k}$  and  $x_{2}^{k} = C^{k}C^{-k}$ 

\nThe equation  $x_{1}^{k} = CD^{k}C^{-k}$  is not always not  $A^{k}$  in terms of  $k$  is much easier to compute.

\n
$$
A^{k} = CD^{k}C^{-k}
$$
\n
$$
A
$$

Compare: 
$$
A^k(x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_nw_n) = \lambda^k x_1w_1 + \cdots + \lambda^k x_nw_n
$$
  
\n(vector form of the same identity).

\nEq:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  is diagonal:

\n $Ae_i = 2e_i$   $Ae_3 = 3e_3$   $Ae_3 = 4e_3$ 

\nSo,  $7e_i, e_2, e_3$  is an eigenbasis, we can take  $C = I_3$ , so, the diagonalization is

\n $A = I_3 A I_3$ 

\nQ: What if we have  $e_2$  to be our first

\nexenvector?

\nNB: A matrix is diagonal to the unit coordinate

\nvector 2

\nProofs  $e_0 \rightarrow c_n$  are eigenvalues.

Geometry of Diagonalizable Matrices When A is diagonalizable every vector can be written as <sup>a</sup> linear combination of eigenvectors so multiplication by A is reduced to scalar multiplication A <sup>x</sup> <sup>w</sup> <sup>t</sup> <sup>t</sup> Xnwn D <sup>X</sup> <sup>W</sup> <sup>t</sup> <sup>t</sup> Danon What does this mean geometrically Expanding in an eigenbasis and scalar multiplication can both be formulated geometrically NB Visualizing <sup>a</sup> matrix means understanding how <sup>x</sup> relates to Axs think of A as <sup>a</sup> function <sup>x</sup> us Ax input output Eg <sup>D</sup> so <sup>D</sup> <sup>Y</sup> Ey scales the <sup>x</sup> direction gas by <sup>2</sup> <sup>a</sup> D Du til it scales the <sup>g</sup> direction <sup>7</sup> by <sup>42</sup> <sup>2</sup> eigenspace <sup>I</sup> eigen demo space

Eq. (11.6)

\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\hline\nF_{3} & A = \frac{1}{10} \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 6 \\ 9 & 14 \end{pmatrix} & p(7) = 2^2 - \frac{5}{2} \lambda + 1 = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}) \\
\lambda = 2 & u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} & u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & u_1 + \frac{1}{2}u_2 = A^2 \lambda \\
\hline\n\text{4(1)} & h_1 + h_2 = \frac{3}{2} \lambda_1 u_1 + \frac{1}{2}u_2 u_2 & \lambda_1 u_2 \\
\hline\n\text{4(1)} & h_1 + h_2 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 \\
\hline\n\text{5(1)} & h_1 + h_2 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 \\
\hline\n\text{6(1)} & h_1 + h_2 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 \\
\hline\n\text{6(1)} & h_1 + h_2 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 \\
\hline\n\text{6(1)} & h_1 + h_2 u_2 & h_1 u_2 \\
\hline\n\text{7(1)} & h_1 + h_2 u_2 & h_1 + h_2 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_1 u_2 \\
\hline\n\text{7(1)} & h_1 + h_2 u_2 & h_1 + h_2 u_2 & h_1 u_2 & h_
$$

This is the vector form. In matrix from  $A=CDC^{-1}$   $C=\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} D=\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$  $Av = CDCV$  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$  $=$  ". First multiply  $v$  by  $C^{-1}$ · then multiply by the diagonal matrix D

· Hen mathply by Cagain

$$
N_{0}f_{\epsilon} C\left(\begin{array}{c} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{array}\right)=x_{1}\omega_{1}+x_{2}\omega_{2}\Longleftrightarrow C^{-1}\left(x_{1}\omega_{1}+x_{2}\omega_{2}\right)=\begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{pmatrix}
$$





E  
\n
$$
A = \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{4} \right) \qquad p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \frac{3}{2} \lambda + \frac{1}{2} = (\lambda - 1) (\lambda - \frac{1}{2})
$$
\n
$$
\lambda_1 = 1 \qquad u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} \qquad u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}
$$
\nEquation 1:  $u_1 = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 u_2$   
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \lambda u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u_
$$

Eg: 
$$
A = \frac{1}{580} \begin{pmatrix} 53 & 33 & 269 \ 207 & 133 & -49 \ 270 & -30 & 680 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 has eigenbasis  
\n $W_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -7 \ 2 \ 5 \end{pmatrix}$   $W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \ -9 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}$   $W_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \ -1 \ 3 \end{pmatrix}$   
\nand eigenvalue  
\n $\lambda_1 = 1/2$   $\lambda_2 = 2$   $\lambda_3 = 3/2$   
\nExpard in the eigenbasis.  
\n $A(x_1w_1 + x_2w_2 + x_3w_3) = \frac{1}{2}x_1w_1 + 2x_2w_2 + \frac{3}{2}x_3w_3$   
\n• scales the  $w_2$ -direction by  $\frac{1}{2}$   
\n• scales the  $w_2$ -direction by  $\frac{1}{2}$  [dum]  
\n• scales the  $w_3$ -direction by  $\frac{3}{2}$