

The Characteristic Polynomial, cont'd

Recall from last time:

- An **eigenvector** of A is a vector v such that
 $Av = \lambda v$ $\lambda = \text{eigenvalue}$

- The **λ -eigenspace** is
 $\text{Nul}(A - \lambda I_n) = \{\text{all } \lambda\text{-eigenvectors and } 0\}$

- The **characteristic polynomial** of A is
 $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I_n)$

The eigenvalues are the solns of $p(\lambda) = 0$.

- We like eigenvectors because
 $Av = \lambda v \Rightarrow A^k v = \lambda^k v$

so we can use these to solve the **difference eqⁿ**

$$v_{k+1} = Av_k \rightsquigarrow v_k = A^k v_0$$

What kind of function is $p(\lambda)$? What does it look like?

2x2 case: $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A - \lambda I_2) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} a-\lambda & b \\ c & d-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = (a-\lambda)(d-\lambda) - bc \\ &= \lambda^2 - (a+d)\lambda + \underbrace{(ad-bc)}_{\det(A)}\end{aligned}$$

This is a polynomial of degree 2 (quadratic).

Def: The trace of a matrix A is

$\text{Tr}(A)$ = the sum of the diagonal entries of A .

Eg: $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ $\text{Tr}(A) = a + d$

Characteristic Polynomial of a 2x2 Matrix A

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{Tr}(A)\lambda + \det(A)$$

NB: $p(0) = \det(A - 0I_n) = \det(A)$

so the constant term is always $\det(A)$.

We know how to factor quadratic polynomials:
the quadratic formula!

Eg: Find all eigenvalues of $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\text{Tr}(A) = 4 \quad \det(A) = 3$$

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 3 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{2}(4 \pm \sqrt{16 - 12}) = \frac{1}{2}(4 \pm 2) = 2 \pm 1$$

so the eigenvalues are 1 and 3.

General Form: If A is an $n \times n$ matrix, then

$$p(\lambda) = (-1)^n \lambda^n + (-1)^{n-1} \text{Tr}(A) \lambda^{n-1} + (\text{other terms}) + \det(A)$$

→ This is a degree- n polynomial

→ You only get the λ^{n-1} and constant coeffs "for free" — the rest are more complicated.

Eg: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 13 & 12 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow p(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{last time}}{=} -\lambda^3 + \underbrace{0}_{\text{got these "for free"}} \lambda^2 + \frac{13}{4} \lambda + \underbrace{\frac{3}{2}}_{\text{got these "for free"}}$

$$\text{Tr}(A) = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0 \checkmark \quad \det(A) = -\frac{1}{4} \cdot (-\frac{12}{2}) = \frac{3}{2} \checkmark$$

Fact: A polynomial of degree n has at most n ^(zeros) roots

Consequence: An $n \times n$ matrix has at most n eigenvalues.

How do we find the roots of a degree- n polynomial?

- In real life: ask a computer

NB the computer will turn this back into an eigenvalue problem and will use a different (faster) eigenvalue-finding algorithm

- By hand: I won't ask you to factor any polynomials of degree ≥ 3 by hand.

NB: This is **not** a Gaussian elimination problem!

Diagonalization

Solving a difference equation $v_{k+1} = Av_k$ is easy when v_0 is an eigenvector:

$$Av_0 = \lambda v_0 \Rightarrow v_k = A^k v_0 = \lambda^k v_0.$$

It is also easy if v_0 is a linear combination of eigenvectors: suppose

$$v_0 = x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \omega_n \quad \text{where } A\omega_i = \lambda_i \omega_i.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_k &= A^k v_0 = A^k (x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \omega_n) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{no matrix} \\ \text{multiplication!} \end{array} \\ &= x_1 A^k \omega_1 + \dots + x_n A^k \omega_n = x_1 \lambda_1^k \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \lambda_n^k \omega_n. \end{aligned}$$

If $A\omega_1 = \lambda_1 \omega_1$, $A\omega_2 = \lambda_2 \omega_2$, ..., $A\omega_n = \lambda_n \omega_n$, then

$$A^k (x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \omega_n) = \lambda_1^k x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + \lambda_n^k x_n \omega_n.$$

JUST DISTRIBUTE!

Rabbit Example Cont'd: We computed the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 13 & 12 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ has eigenvalues } 2, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$$

Compute eigenspaces (bases for $\text{Nul}(A - \lambda I_3)$):

$$2: \text{Span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 32 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\} \quad -\frac{1}{2}: \text{Span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\} \quad -\frac{3}{2}: \text{Span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 18 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$$

Let's give names to some eigenvectors:

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 32 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad w_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 18 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Can we write our initial state $v_0 = (16, 6, 1)$ as a LC of w_1, w_2, w_3 ? Need to solve

$$\begin{pmatrix} 16 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 32 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 18 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Augmented matrix: } \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 32 & 2 & 18 & 16 \\ 4 & -1 & -3 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{solve}} \begin{matrix} x_1 = 1 \\ x_2 = 1 \\ x_3 = -1 \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{So } v_0 = w_1 + w_2 - w_3$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow v_k &= A^k v_0 = 2^k w_1 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k w_2 - \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^k w_3 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 32 \cdot 2^k + 2 \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k - 18 \cdot \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \\ 4 \cdot 2^k - \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k + 3 \cdot \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \\ 2^k + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k - \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

↑ closed form: no matrix multiplication

Observation 1: $2^k \gg |(-\frac{1}{2})^k|$ and $|(-\frac{3}{2})^k|$ for large k

so $A^k v_0 \sim 2^k \omega_1$ (most significant digits)

This explains why eventually,

- ratios converge to $(32:4:1)$
- population roughly doubles each year

Observation 2: $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$ is linearly independent
(this is automatic — more later)

$\xRightarrow[\text{thm}]{\text{basis}}$ $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{R}^3$

$\Rightarrow$ any vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is a linear combination of $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$

So if $v_0 = x_1 \omega_1 + x_2 \omega_2 + x_3 \omega_3$ then

$$\begin{aligned} A^k v_0 &= x_1 A^k \omega_1 + x_2 A^k \omega_2 + x_3 A^k \omega_3 \\ &= 2^k x_1 \omega_1 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k x_2 \omega_2 + \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^k x_3 \omega_3 \end{aligned}$$

So observation 1 holds for any initial state $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Q: What if $x_1 = 0$?

The fact that A has 3 LI eigenvectors means we can understand how A acts on $\mathbb{R}^3$ entirely in terms of its eigenvectors & eigenvalues.

Def: Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. A is diagonalizable if it has n linearly independent eigenvectors $w_1, \dots, w_n$. In this case, $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ is called an eigenbasis.

In this case, any vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear combination of eigenvectors. Writing a vector as a LC of eigenvectors is called expanding in an eigenbasis.

→ This means solving the vector equation

$$v = x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2 + \dots + x_n w_n.$$

or the matrix equation

this matrix
is not A !

$$\begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ w_1 & \dots & w_n \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix} x = v$$

Important! When working with a diagonalizable matrix, everything is much easier if you expand your vectors in an eigenbasis!

Procedure for Solving a Difference Equation:

Consider a difference equation

$$V_{k+1} = AV_k \quad \text{with initial state } v_0.$$

- (1) **Diagonalize** A to get an eigenbasis $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$.

Stop if the matrix is not diagonalizable: this procedure fails.

- (2) **Expand** v_0 in the eigenbasis: i.e., solve

$$v_0 = x_1 w_1 + \dots + x_n w_n$$

Solution: $v_k = A^k v_0 = \lambda_1^k x_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n^k x_n w_n$

Of course, this only works if A is diagonalizable.

Procedure for Diagonalizing a Matrix:

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

(1) Compute the characteristic polynomial

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I_n)$$

(2) Factor $p(\lambda)$ to find the eigenvalues of A .

(3) Find a basis for each eigenspace.

(4) Combine your bases in (3).

- If you have n vectors, they form an **eigenbasis**.

- Otherwise, A is **not diagonalizable**.

Eg: We ran this procedure on $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 13 & 12 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ above.

Eg: Solve the difference equation

$$v_{k+1} = Av_k \quad \text{for} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 14 & -18 & -33 \\ -12 & 20 & 33 \\ 12 & -18 & -31 \end{pmatrix} \quad v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

First we diagonalize A .

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 14-\lambda & -18 & -33 \\ -12 & 20-\lambda & 33 \\ 12 & -18 & -31-\lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (14-\lambda) \det \begin{pmatrix} 20-\lambda & 33 \\ -18 & -31-\lambda \end{pmatrix} - 12(-1) \det \begin{pmatrix} -18 & -33 \\ -18 & -31-\lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + 12 \det \begin{pmatrix} -18 & -33 \\ 20-\lambda & 33 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \dots = -\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 - 4$$

Ask a computer for the roots:

$$p(\lambda) = -(\lambda-2)^2(\lambda+1) \quad \leftarrow \text{twice?}$$

So the eigenvalues are $\lambda=2$ and $\lambda=-1$.

Let's find bases for eigenspaces:

$$\lambda=2: A-2I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & -18 & -33 \\ -12 & 18 & 33 \\ 12 & -18 & -33 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3/2 & -11/4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{PVE}} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 11/4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{basis}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 11/4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Let's clear denominators to make our lives easier:

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = -1: A + I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 15 & -18 & -33 \\ -12 & 21 & 33 \\ 12 & -18 & -30 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{PVE}} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{basis}} w_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have 3 eigenvectors $w_1, w_2, w_3 \Rightarrow A$ is diagonalizable with eigenbasis

$$\{w_1, w_2, w_3\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Now we expand $v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ in our eigenbasis:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{matrix}]{\text{aug}} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 3 & 11 & 1 & 6 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow v_0 = -w_1 + w_2 - 2w_3$$

Now we're done:

$$v_k = A^k v_0 = -2^k w_1 + 2^k w_2 - 2(-1)^k w_3$$

$$= -2^k \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2^k \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} - 2(-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 8 \cdot 2^k - 2 \cdot (-1)^k \\ -2 \cdot 2^k + 2 \cdot (-1)^k \\ 4 \cdot 2^k - 2(-1)^k \end{pmatrix}$$

closed form: no matrix multiplication required!

NB: $2^k \gg (-1)^k$, so $v_k \sim 2^k(w_2 - w_1) = 2^k \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$

Eg: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{Tr}(A)\lambda + \det(A)$
 $= \lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1 = (\lambda - 1)^2$

shear ↗

The only eigenvalue is 1, and the 1-eigenspace is

$$\text{Nul}(A - I_2) = \text{Nul}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$$

We only got one eigenvector $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and not two:

⇒ not diagonalizable! (all eigenvectors lie on the x-axis.)

So we can't use diagonalization to solve

$$v_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v_k.$$

NB: The shear should be your favorite example of a non-diagonalizable matrix.

Fact: A matrix with "random entries" will be diagonalizable.

In the diagonalization procedure, how did we know that when we combined our eigenbases we would get a linearly independent set of vectors?

Fact: If $w_1, \dots, w_p$ are eigenvectors of A with different eigenvalues then $\{w_1, \dots, w_p\}$ is L.I.

More on this next time.