

Elementary Matrices

This turns row operations into matrix multiplication.

It lets us use matrix algebra to reason about elimination.

Def: An elementary matrix is a matrix obtained from the identity matrix by doing one row operation.

Eg:

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\underbrace{R_2 \leftarrow 2R_1}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\underbrace{R_2 \times 3}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\underbrace{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Fact: If E is the elementary matrix for a row operation, then

$$E \cdot A = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{the matrix obtained by doing the} \\ \text{same row operation to } A \end{array} \right)$$

row
operations

\equiv

left-multiplication
by elementary
matrices

Eg:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 5 & -3 & -6 & -6 \end{pmatrix} \quad \xrightarrow{R_3 \leftarrow 5R_1}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & -8 & -1 & -21 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \xrightarrow{R_3 \leftarrow 5R_1}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -5 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \parallel \text{ same!}$$

$$EA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -5 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 5 & -3 & -6 & -6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & -8 & -1 & -21 \end{pmatrix}$$

Left-multiplication by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -5 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ does $R_3 \leftarrow 5R_1$

Fact: An elementary matrix is **invertible**. Its inverse is the elementary matrix that **un-does** the row operation.

Why?

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{elementary} \\ \text{matrix for} \\ R_3 \leftarrow 5R_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -5 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{elementary} \\ \text{matrix for} \\ R_3 \leftarrow 5R_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_2 E_1 = E_2 E_1 I_3 = E_2 (E_1 I_3) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{first multiply } I_3 \text{ by } E_1 \\ \text{then multiply that by } E_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

What does that do?

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I_3 & \xrightarrow[\text{mult. by } E_1]{R_3 \leftarrow SR_1} & E_1 I_3 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow[\text{mult. by } E_1]{R_3 \leftarrow SR_1} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -5 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 & & \xrightarrow[\text{mult. by } E_2]{R_3 \leftarrow SR_1} E_2(E_1 I_3) \\
 & & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{array}$$

This does the row operation $R_3 \leftarrow SR_1$, then un-does it!
So you get I_3 back.

$$E_2 E_1 = I_3 \quad \text{means} \quad E_1^{-1} = E_2$$

This illustrates the following important point:

If E is an elementary matrix, then
compute $E \cdot A$ by doing a row operation.
Not by multiplying matrices!

What if you do multiple row operations?

Consider these elementary matrices:

$$E_1: R_1 \leftarrow 2R_2 \quad E_2: R_2 \times 2 \quad E_3: R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3$$

Let's apply these *in order* to a matrix A :

$$\begin{array}{l}
 A \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftarrow 2R_2} E_1 A \xrightarrow[\text{do } R_2 \times 2 \text{ to } E_1 A]{R_2 \times 2} E_2(E_1 A) \xrightarrow[\text{do } R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3 \text{ to } E_2 E_1 A]{R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3} E_3(E_2(E_1 A)) \\
 \hspace{15em} = (E_3 E_2 E_1) A
 \end{array}$$

Why did the elementary matrices end up in the opposite order?

$$E_3 E_2 E_1 A = E_3 E_2 (E_1 A) \\ = \text{first multiply by } E_1, \text{ then by } E_2, \text{ then } E_3$$

Application to Invertibility:

Suppose $A \xrightarrow{\text{RREF}} I_n$. That means you can do some number of row operations to A to get I_n . Let E_1, \dots, E_r be the elementary matrices for these row operations. Then

$$I_n = (E_r \cdots E_2 E_1) A$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{-1} = E_r \cdots E_2 E_1$$

In particular, A is invertible!

It also tells us how to compute A^{-1} using row operations:

↗ column-first matrix multiplication
 $\Rightarrow C(A|B) = (CA|CB)$

$$(E_r \cdots E_2 E_1)(A | I_n) = ((E_r \cdots E_2 E_1)A | (E_r \cdots E_2 E_1)I_n) \\ = (I_n | A^{-1})$$

This means if you do the same row operations to I_n , then you get A^{-1} : that's our algorithm from last time.

Triangular Matrices

Def: A matrix is **upper/lower triangular** if all of the entries below/above the main diagonal are zero.

upper triangular

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$$

main diagonal

lower triangular

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bullet & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bullet & \bullet & 0 & 0 \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

main diagonal

● = any number

Def: A matrix is **upper/lower unitriangular** if it is upper/lower triangular and all diagonal entries = 1.

upper unitriangular

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 1 & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$$

lower unitriangular

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bullet & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \bullet & \bullet & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

● = any number

Eg: These matrices are upper-Δular but not uniΔular:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

● = any number
(including zero!)

Eg: These matrices are lower uniΔular:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 1 \\ 4 & 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

● = any number
(including zero!)

NB: A matrix is diagonal \Leftrightarrow it is both upper- & lower-Δular: that means all off-diagonal entries are zero.

Eg: A matrix in REF is upper-Δular:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eg: The elementary matrix for $R_i \leftarrow cR_j, i > j$

(add a multiple of a row to a row below it)

is lower-uniΔular:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \leftarrow 2R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Fact: If A & B are $n \times n$ upper (uni)Δular matrices then so are AB and A^{-1} (if A is invertible).

Likewise for lower (uni)Δular matrices.

Eg:
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 19 & 9 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{matrix inversion} \\ \text{procedure...} \end{array} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

NB: Any square, **uni**Δular matrix is invertible:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{●} = \text{pivots}$$

LU Decompositions:

If Gaussian elimination on A requires **no** row swaps, then

$$A = LU$$

where:

L is lower uniΔular

U is an REF for A (\Rightarrow upper-Δular)

Using the prescribed algorithm, not your clever row ops!

Eg:
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = A = LU = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

How does this speed up solving $Ax=b$?

How to solve $Ax=b$ using $A=LU$:

(1) Solve $Ly=b$ using substitution.

(2) Solve $Ux=y$ using substitution.

Then $Ax = (LU)x = L(Ux) = Ly = b$ ✓

Eg: Solve $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ using

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = A = LU = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) Solve $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ using substitution.

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow[R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - R_1]{R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - 2R_1} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -2 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_3 \leftarrow R_3 + R_2} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2) Solve $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$ using substitution.

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & -4 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_2 \div 4} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_2 \leftarrow 4R_3} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_2 \div 2} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_1 \leftarrow R_2} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 \div 2} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\leadsto x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Check: $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ✓

Wait, was that really any faster than elimination?

(1) Solve $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ using substitution:

This elimination goes **down** instead of up, but it amounts to the same thing — just reorder the rows if you like. $\leadsto n^2$ flops

But the pivots are already = 1 (L is **unit** triangular)
so you don't have to do the n row scaling ops

$\leadsto n^2 - n$ flops

(2) Solve $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & | & -4 \end{pmatrix}$ using substitution:

This is just Jordan substitution $\leadsto n^2$ flops.

Total:

$$\begin{array}{c} n \times n \\ \downarrow \\ \text{Solving } Ax=b \text{ using } A=LU \text{ takes} \\ 2n^2 - n \approx 2n^2 \text{ flops} \end{array}$$

This turned elimination + substitution $\approx \frac{2}{3}n^3$ flops into $2n^2$!
Of course, you still have to compute $A=LU$:

Where does $A=LU$ come from?

If you can do Gaussian elimination without row swaps then the only row operations you're allowed to do are

$$R_i \leftarrow cR_j, \quad i > j \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(add a multiple of a row} \\ \text{to a row below it)} \end{array}$$

The corresponding elementary matrices are lower-triangular:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \leftarrow 2R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = E$$

Let E_1, \dots, E_r be the elementary matrices for the row ops you performed in Gaussian elimination. They are lower-triangular.

$$A \xrightarrow[\text{ops}]{\text{row}} U = \text{REF}(A) \quad \text{means}$$

$$U = E_r E_{r-1} \cdots E_2 E_1 A$$

(left-multiplication by E_i
does row operation i)

Since the E_i 's are lower-triangular, so are

$$(E_r E_{r-1} \cdots E_2 E_1) \quad \text{and} \quad L = (E_r E_{r-1} \cdots E_2 E_1)^{-1} \\ = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \cdots E_r^{-1} E_r^{-1}$$

$$\text{Then } LU = (E_r E_{r-1} \cdots E_2 E_1)^{-1} (E_r E_{r-1} \cdots E_2 E_1) A \\ = A \quad \checkmark$$

NB: $L = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \cdots E_r^{-1} E_r^{-1}$ "keeps track" of the row operations you did in Gaussian elimination.

NB: $A = LU$ is a **matrix factorization**: it is a way of writing a matrix as a product of **simpler** matrices.
 → We'll learn many of these
 → They all make different calculations **faster**.

This also gives you a way of computing L & U .

$$L = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \cdots E_r^{-1} E_r^{-1} = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \cdots E_r^{-1} I_m$$

This means:

- start with I_n
- multiply by E_r^{-1} means **undo** the last row op.
- multiply by E_{r-1}^{-1} means **undo** the $(r-1)^{st}$ row op.
- \vdots
- multiply by E_2^{-1} means **undo** the 2^{nd} row op.
- multiply by E_1^{-1} means **undo** the 1^{st} row op.

This is L . (U is still the REF.)


Eg: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \leftarrow 2R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \leftarrow 3R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$\xrightarrow{R_3 \leftarrow R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = U$

Compute L :

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{undo last row op}]{R_3 \leftarrow R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{undo 2nd row op}]{R_2 \leftarrow 3R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$\xrightarrow[\text{undo 1st row op}]{R_2 \leftarrow 2R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = L$



Here's a better way of doing the bookkeeping.

Computing $A=LU$ using the 2-Column Method:

- (1) Start with a blank $m \times m$ "L" matrix on the left and your matrix A on the right.
- (2) Perform Gaussian elimination on A . ^{→ Using the prescribed algorithm!} For each row replacement $R_i \leftarrow R_i + cR_j$ put $-c$ in the (i,j) entry of the L matrix.
- (3) Add 1's to the diagonal entries of L & 0's to the rest of the blank entries.

Now L is on the left and U on the right.

Eg:

<p>start blank →</p>	<p>L</p>	<p>← 2 columns →</p>	<p>U</p>	<p>← start with A</p>
	$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$		$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
<p>$R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - 2R_1$ $(c = -2)$</p>	<p>(2,1) entry</p> $\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ 2 & & \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$		$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
<p>$R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - 3R_1$ $(c = -3)$</p>	<p>(3,1) entry</p> $\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ 2 & & \\ 3 & & \end{pmatrix}$		$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
<p>$R_3 \leftarrow R_3 + R_2$ $(c = 1)$</p>	<p>(3,2) entry</p> $\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ 2 & & \\ 3 & -1 & \end{pmatrix}$		$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = U$	

Fill in the blank entries of L :

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ 2 & & \\ 3 & -1 & \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = L$$

Computational Complexity

Finding $A=LU$ is just Gaussian elimination + some extra bookkeeping.

Computing $A=LU$: $\approx \frac{2}{3}n^3$ flops

Solving $Ax=b$ given $A=LU$: $\approx 2n^2$ flops

How does this help? Isn't this just as long as Gauss-Jordan elimination?

Yes, unless you have to solve $Ax=b$ for 1,000,000 different values of b ! In this case, you just do **elimination** once and **substitution** 1,000,000 x.

Eg: If $n=10^3$ and you have 10^6 b 's:

- Gauss-Jordan 10^6 times is $10^6 \times \frac{2}{3}(10^3)^3 = \frac{2}{3} \cdot 10^{15}$ flops
- LU + substitution 10^6 times is $\frac{2}{3}(10^3)^3 + 10^6 \times 2(10^3)^2 \approx 2 \cdot 10^{12}$ flops



If I want my computer to solve $Ax=b$ for a zillion values of b , I want to ask it for an LU decomposition first!

This is faster in SymPy too:

```
from sympy import *
from time import time

# This is the 10x10 matrix with 2's on the diagonal and 1's elsewhere
# eye(n) = nxn identity matrix; ones(n) = nxn matrix of 1's
# (multiply by 1.0 to force it to use floating point arithmetic)
A = (eye(10) + ones(10)) * 1.0
# This is the vector [1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1]
b = ones(10, 1) * 1.0

start = time()
# Compute LU decomposition
L, U, _ = A.LUdecomposition()
# Solve using substitution 1000 times
for _ in range(1000):
    U.upper_triangular_solve(L.lower_triangular_solve(b))
end = time()
print(end - start)
# "7.144780397415161" (seconds)

# Now solve using elimination 1000 times
start = time()
for _ in range(1000):
    A.solve(b)
end = time()
print(end - start)
# "48.048372983932495" (seconds)
# Roughly 10x slower!
```

What about inverses?

Isn't it faster to solve for x by multiplying by A^{-1} ? $Ax=b \iff x=A^{-1}b$

- Computing A^{-1} uses $\approx \frac{4}{3}n^3$ flops.
- Multiplying $A^{-1}b$ uses $\approx 2n^2$ flops too!
- Computing A^{-1} ends up introducing more rounding errors.

Maximal Partial Pivoting

The system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}x_2 &= 1 \\x_1 + x_2 &= 2\end{aligned}$$

has one solution

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= 1 \\x_2 &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Let's tweak it just a little bit:

$$\begin{aligned}-10^{-17}x_1 + x_2 &= 1 \\x_1 + x_2 &= 2\end{aligned}$$

Presumably this has one solution $x_1 \approx 1$, $x_2 \approx 1$.

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -10^{-17} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_2 \leftrightarrow 10^{17}R_1} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -10^{-17} & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1+10^{17} & 2+10^{17} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_2 \div 1+10^{17}} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -10^{-17} & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{2+10^{17}}{1+10^{17}} \end{array} \right) \quad \frac{2+10^{17}}{1+10^{17}} = \frac{1+10^{17}}{1+10^{17}} + \frac{1}{1+10^{17}} = 1 + \frac{1}{1+10^{17}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -10^{-17} & 0 & -\frac{1}{1+10^{17}} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 + \frac{1}{1+10^{17}} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 \times -10^{17}} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & \frac{10^{17}}{1+10^{17}} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 + \frac{1}{1+10^{17}} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\text{So } x_1 = \frac{10^{17}}{1+10^{17}} \approx 1 \text{ and } x_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{1+10^{17}} \approx 1 \quad \checkmark$$

Let's try this on the computer.

```

from sympy import *
# 1e-17 is 10^(-17)
A = Matrix([[[-1e-17, 1.0, 1.0],
              [ 1.0, 1.0, 2.0]])

# This does R2 += 10^(17) R1
# (force sympy to use the smaller pivot)
A.row_op(1, lambda v, j: v + 1e17*A[0,j])
pprint(A)
# [-1e-17  1  1]
# [ 0 1e17 1e17]

# Now do Jordan substitution
pprint(A.rref(pivots=False))
# [1 0 0]
# [0 1 1]
# This answer is numerically very wrong!

```

What went wrong?

Most computers represent decimal numbers in 64-bit floating point format.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IEEE_754

This amounts to ≈ 17 decimal digits of precision.

When the computer does

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -10^{-17} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_2 += 10^{17} R_1} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -10^{-17} & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1+10^{17} & 2+10^{17} \end{array} \right)$$

it computes

$$1+10^{17} = \overbrace{1000000000000000000}^{17 \text{ digits}} 1$$

but it forgets the least significant (18th) digit:



$$\begin{aligned} 1+10^{17} &= 1000000000000000000 1 \\ &= 1000000000000000000 0 \\ &= 10^{17} \end{aligned}$$

Likewise:

$$\begin{aligned} 2+10^{17} &= 1000000000000000000 2 \\ &= 1000000000000000000 0 \\ &= 10^{17} \end{aligned}$$

So the computer does

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -10^{-17} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_2 += 10^{17} R_1} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 10^{-17} & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 10^{17} & 10^{17} \end{array} \right)$$

$$R_2 \div 10^{17} \xrightarrow{\quad} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -10^{-17} & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 -= R_2} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -10^{-17} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$R_1 \times -10^{17} \xrightarrow{\quad} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{l} x_1 = 0 \\ x_2 = 1 \end{array} \quad \text{X}$$

Summary:

We had to divide R_1 by the 1st pivot $= -10^{-17}$

ie, we multiplied it by 10^{17}

then added it to R_2


which had the effect of **forgetting** the rest of the entries of R_2 .

How to fix this?

Row swap to choose the larger pivot!

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -10^{-17} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ -10^{-17} & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_2 += 10^{-17} R_1} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$R_1 -= R_2 \xrightarrow{\quad} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{l} x_1 = 1 \\ x_2 = 1 \end{array} \quad \checkmark \quad \text{Much better.}$$



 $1 + 10^{-17} = 1$

 $= 1 + 2 \cdot 10^{-17}$

There are several **pivoting strategies** for avoiding this kind of **rounding error**. Here is the simplest.

Gaussian Elimination with **Maximal Partial Pivoting**:

In step (a) of Gaussian elimination, perform a row swap so that (one of) the **largest** numbers in the column (in absolute value) becomes the new pivot.

NB: SymPy does this by default.

```
A = Matrix([[1e-17, 1.0, 1.0],
            [ 1.0, 1.0, 2.0]])
# Do Gauss-Jordan with MPP
pprint(A.rref(pivots=False))
# [1 0 1]
# [0 1 1]
```

The story so far:

- Can solve $Ax=b$ faster with $A=LU$, which only works when you can do Gaussian elimination with **no row swaps**.
- Elimination is much more numerically accurate if you **row swap for every pivot**.

The best of both worlds is:

PALU Decompositions

Def: A permutation matrix is a product of elementary matrices for row swaps.

So if P is a permutation matrix then

$$\begin{aligned} PA &= (\text{do a bunch of row swaps on } A) \\ &= (\text{rearrange the rows of } A) \end{aligned}$$

PALU Decomposition:

Any matrix A has a factorization

$$PA = LU$$

where:

P is a permutation matrix

L is lower uniΔular

U is an REF for A

Idea: First do all the row swaps on A (PA), then compute its LU decomposition ($PA = LU$).

Of course, you don't know which row swaps you'll need to do **before** doing elimination! Thankfully, this is taken care of with some slightly fancier bookkeeping.

Computing $PA=LU$ Using the 3-Column Method.

(1) Start with the $m \times m$ identity matrix "P" on the left, a blank $m \times m$ "L" matrix in the middle, and your matrix A on the right.

→ Using the prescribed algorithm!

(2) Do **Gaussian Elimination** on A.

- For each row replacement $R_i \leftarrow R_i + cR_j$ put $-c$ in the (i,j) entry of the L matrix.
- For each row swap $R_i \leftrightarrow R_j$, swap the corresponding rows of L (including blank entries!) and P.

(3) Add 1's to the diagonal entries of L & 0's to the rest of the blank entries.

Now P is on the left, L in the middle, and U on the right.

Important: This only works if you do Gaussian elimination **as prescribed!** It will fail if you try to be more clever with your row operations.

Eg (PAU with Maximal Partial Pivoting):

Compute a $PA=LU$ decomposition using MPP for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 5 & 15 & 10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

P

L

U

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 5 & 15 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

$R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$
choose
largest pivot

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 15 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

$R_2 \leftarrow \frac{1}{10} R_1$
 $R_3 \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} R_1$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ -1/10 & & \\ -1/2 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 5 & -5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3$
choose
largest pivot

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ -1/2 & & \\ -1/10 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 0 & 5 & -5 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$R_3 \leftarrow \frac{1}{5} R_2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ -1/2 & & \\ -1/10 & -1/5 & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 0 & 5 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Fill in the blank entries of L:

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ -1/2 & & \\ -1/10 & -1/5 & \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1/10 & -1/5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = L$$

Check:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 5 & 15 & 10 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1/10 & -1/5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 0 & 5 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \checkmark$$

Of course, this is only useful if we can use it to solve $Ax=b$:

How to solve $Ax=b$ using $PA=LU$:

(0) Compute Pb . (rearrange the entries of b)

(1) Solve $Ly=Pb$ using substitution.

(2) Solve $Ux=y$ using substitution.

Then $PAx=(LU)x=L(Ux)=Ly=Pb$.

(Multiply both sides by $P^{-1} \Rightarrow Ax=b$.)

Eg: Solve $Ax=b$ where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 5 & 15 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{using } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 5 & 15 & 10 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1/10 & -1/5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 0 & 5 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(0) \quad Pb = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -10 \\ 10 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{rearrange})$$

(1) Solve $Ly=Pb$ using substitution:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & -10 \\ -1/2 & 1 & 0 & 10 \\ -1/10 & -1/5 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{(\dots)} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & -10 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} -10 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2) Solve $Ux=y$ using substitution:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} -10 & -20 & -30 & -10 \\ 0 & 5 & -5 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 \end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{(\dots)} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right) \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Check:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -10 & -20 & -30 \\ 5 & 15 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

Computational Complexity

Finding $PA=LU$ is just Gaussian elimination + some extra bookkeeping, as with $A=LU$.

And solving $Ax=b$ using $PA=LU$ only had the extra step (0), which is just rearranging (no flops).

So the complexity is the same as $A=LU$.

Computing $PA=LU$:

$\approx \frac{2}{3}n^3$ flops

Solving $Ax=b$ given $PA=LU$:

$\approx 2n^2$ flops