

Comment on geometric surgery and surgery of Poincaré objects:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S^n \times D^{m-n} \times \{1\} & \hookrightarrow & M \times I \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 D^{n+1} \times D^{m-n} & \longrightarrow & W \supseteq M \times I \cup D^{n+1} \times D^{m-n} \\
 & & S^n \times D^{m-n} \times \{1\}
 \end{array}$$

homotopy
pushout or
colimit

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Rightarrow S^n & \longrightarrow & M \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 * & \longrightarrow & W
 \end{array}$$

homotopy
colimit

$$\begin{array}{l}
 (S^n \times D^{m-n} \times \{1\}) \simeq S^n \\
 M \times I \simeq M \\
 D^{n+1} \times D^{m-n} \simeq *
 \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow C_*(S^n; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_*(W; \mathbb{Z})$$

is a cofiber sequence

$$B(P, Q) = \text{Mor}(P \otimes Q, \mathbb{Z})$$

$\mathcal{D}^{\text{perf}}(\mathbb{Z})$

$$\mathbb{D} = \text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Z})$$

$\mathcal{D}^{\text{perf}}(\mathbb{Z})$

$$Q = P. \mapsto BCP, P.)^{hC_2}$$

Apply D. Obtain fiber sequence

$$C^*(S^n; \mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow C^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow C^*(W; \mathbb{Z})$$

Geometric surgery data: $S^n \times D^{m-n} \hookrightarrow M$

Algebraic surgery data:

$$C_*(S^n; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow C_*(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

And nullhomotopy of pullback of intersection form from trivialization of the normal bundle

Surgery:

$$C_*(S^n; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow C_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i^{\#}} \mathbb{D}_{\Omega^m Q} C_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{D_{S^2} \alpha} \mathbb{D}_{\Omega^m Q} C_*(S^n; \mathbb{Z})$$

$$(\star) C_*(S^n; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} C_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{B = D_{\Omega^m Q} i^{\#}} \mathbb{D}_{\Omega^m Q} C_*(S^n; \mathbb{Z})$$

Last time we saw:

Have cobordism v b/w $(C_*(M; \mathbb{Z}), i)$ and $(C_*(M; \mathbb{Z})', i')$:
of Poincaré objects

$$C_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow \text{fib}(B) \longrightarrow C_*(M; \mathbb{Z})'$$

We have a dual triangle $\mathbb{D}(\star)$

$$\text{Cofib } \alpha \simeq \mathbb{D} \text{ fib } \mathbb{D} \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{fib } \mathbb{D} \alpha \simeq C^*(W; \mathbb{Z})$$

fib $\mathbb{D} \alpha$ gives cobordism of the Poincaré objects dual to $C_*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $C_*(M; \mathbb{Z})'$

Thus $C^*(W; \mathbb{Z})$ gives cobordism blw the Poincaré objects $C^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbb{D} C_*(M; \mathbb{Z})'$

This is consistent with the notion that $C_*(M; \mathbb{Z})' \simeq C_*(M'; \mathbb{Z})$ where M' is the geometric surgery.

Mirzembruch Signature Theorem

Orientations

Let $H \in \text{Sp}$. So H represents a cohomology theory

$$H^n(X) = [X, \Sigma^n H] \quad H_n(X) = \pi_n(X \wedge H)$$

Ex: $H = H\mathbb{Z}$ ordinary singular cohomology with \mathbb{Z} coefficients

$H\mathbb{Q}$ ordinary singular cohomology with \mathbb{Q} coefficients

MU cobordism

$$\mathbb{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}^{\text{perf}}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}^S) \quad \text{a spectrum}$$

$$\text{with } \pi_i \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_i(\mathcal{D}^{\text{perf}}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}^S)$$

When H has a ring structure, we will assume, have $\mathbb{S} = S^0 \rightarrow H$ which we

Def:

A rank r virtual vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$ is said to be oriented with respect to H if

- There is a Thom class $u \in H^r(\text{Th}(V))$ s.t. $\forall x \in X \quad u|_x \in H^r(\text{Th}(V_x)) \simeq H^0$ is a unit



- We are given an equivalence

$$\text{Th}(V) \wedge H \simeq \Sigma^r X \wedge H$$

Def: A smooth manifold M is oriented if TM is oriented with respect to $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}$

- M manifold $\dim m$, compact

An orientation of TM with respect to \mathbb{H} gives rise to a fundamental

class $[M] \in H_m(M)$ s.t.

$$H^i(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{m-i}(M)$$

Construction: cf 2.2 & 1

Thom collapse $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ embedding

$$S^k = \mathbb{R}^k \cup \{\infty\} \xrightarrow{c} \text{Th}(N_M \mathbb{R}^k)$$

$$\text{In Sp, } S = S^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma^{-k} \text{Th}(N_M \mathbb{R}^k) \simeq \text{Th}(-TM)$$

(As before

$$TM + \mathcal{N}_m \mathbb{R}^k = \mathbb{I}^k$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum^{-k} \text{Th } \mathcal{N}_m \mathbb{R}^k \simeq \text{Th}(-TM) \quad)$$

• apply $\$ \rightarrow M$

$$\$ \longrightarrow M \wedge \text{Th}(-TM)$$

Rmk: TM oriented with respect to $M \Rightarrow$
 $-TM$ oriented with respect to M

PF: $\mathcal{N}_m \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^k \rightarrow TM$

The orientation of 2 out of 3 vector bundles in a short exact sequence gives an orientation of the third

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_m \mathbb{R}^k \text{ oriented}$$

On the other hand $-TM = \mathbb{I}^{-k} + \mathcal{N}_m \mathbb{R}^k$,
so $-TM$ is oriented

Thus we have

$$\mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \sum^{-m} H \wedge M$$

equivalently $\mathbb{S}^m \longrightarrow H \wedge M$

equivalently $[M] \in H_m[M]$

• use $\mathbb{D}M \cong M^{-TM}$ to obtain iso

$$H^i(M) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\cap [M]} H_{m-i}(M)$$

as in L2

- Two fundamental classes must differ by a unit in $H_0(M)$
- Thus two different orientations of TM with respect to a cohomology theory H give a "Signature formula"
 $[M]_1 = f(TM)[M]_2$

for some unit $f(TM) \in H_0(M)$
 a characteristic class

Hirzebruch Signature formula:

We will have two canonical orientations of TM with respect to the cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{H} \wedge H \mathbb{Q}$$

for a smooth, compact oriented manifold M
 which has piecewise linear

First orientation: Since M is oriented, we have a given equivalence

$$H \mathbb{Z} \wedge Th(TM) \simeq \sum^m H \mathbb{Z} \wedge M$$

Smashing $\wedge_{H \mathbb{Z}} (H \mathbb{Q} \wedge \mathbb{H})$ we have

our first orientation

$$(H\mathbb{Q} \wedge \mathbb{L}) \wedge Th(TM) \simeq \Sigma^m (H\mathbb{Q} \wedge \mathbb{L}) \wedge M$$

Second orientation: we will construct an orientation

$$\mathbb{L} \wedge Th(TM) \simeq \Sigma^m \mathbb{L} \wedge M$$

and then $\wedge_{\mathbb{L}} (\mathbb{L} \wedge H\mathbb{Q})$ produces the claimed second orientation.

The canonical \mathbb{L} -theory orientation of M :

Analogy: The K -groups of X are given by

$$K^i(X) = [X, \Sigma^i K]$$

$$K_i(X) = \pi_i(X \wedge K)$$

where $K \in Sp$

They are also given by forming a K -theory spectrum / space from a space of vector

bundles

- Similarly the L -groups

$$L_i(M) \simeq [M, \Sigma^i \perp(\mathcal{D}^{\text{Perf}}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q})] \quad L_i(M) = \pi_i(M \wedge \perp(\mathcal{D}^{\text{Perf}}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}))$$

of M are also L -groups of a stable ∞ -category of sheaves

$$L_i(M) = L_i \left(\text{Shv}_{\text{const}}(M, \mathcal{D}^{\text{Perf}}(\mathbb{Z})) \Big|_{\mathbb{Q}^S} \right)$$

where $\text{Shv}_{\text{const}}(M, \mathcal{D}^{\text{Perf}}(\mathbb{Z}))$ is a stable ∞ -category of sheaves of objects in $\mathcal{D}^{\text{Perf}}(\mathbb{Z})$ and

$$\mathbb{Q}^S : \text{Shv}_{\text{const}}(M, \mathcal{D}^{\text{Perf}}(\mathbb{Z}))^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Sp}$$

is a quadratic functor

- For Thom spaces $V \rightarrow M$

$$L_i(\text{Th}(V)) \simeq L_i \left(\text{Shv}_{\text{const}}(M, \mathcal{D}^{\text{Perf}}(\mathbb{Z})) \Big|_{\mathbb{Q}_V^S} \right)$$

where

\mathbb{Q}_V^S is a "twist" of \mathbb{Q}^S by V

references:

Lurie L 11, L23