

Statement of Mathematics Research

My mathematics dissertation work lies at the interface of analytic and algebraic number theory, especially Hilbert's twelfth problem (Hilb12) through a lens of special values of L-functions.

My Work on Hilbert's Twelfth Problem over ATR Fields

Hilb12 asks for a generalization of the Kronecker-Weber theorem: the roots of unity generate the abelian extensions of \mathbb{Q} , but what about for other ground fields? In a series of papers in the 1970's, culminating in [Sta80], Stark conjectured generalizations of the classical class number formula with implications for Hilb12. Indeed, the recent proof of the Brumer-Stark Conjectures by Dasgupta and Kakde in [DK23] has resulted in explicit generators of abelian extensions over totally real fields.

Let K/\mathbb{Q} denote a degree $n \geq 2$ number field with exactly one complex place (also called an almost totally real, or ATR, field). For example, $K = \mathbb{Q}[\theta]$ with $\theta^3 - 2 = 0$ has one real embedding (which corresponds to the real cube root of 2) and one complex embedding (which corresponds to the conjugate pair of complex cube roots of 2). I generalize Ren and Szech's work over cubic ATR fields in [RS09] to *all* ATR fields, in part by leveraging the work of Cassou-Noguès in [CN79].

For ideals \mathfrak{f} (the conductor) and \mathfrak{a} (an ideal class mod \mathfrak{f}), consider the partial zeta function

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{f}}(\mathfrak{a}, s) = N\mathfrak{a}^{-s} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathfrak{a} \\ \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}}} \frac{1}{N(\alpha)^s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

Then $\zeta_{\mathfrak{f}}(\mathfrak{a}, s)$ has an analytical continuation to all of \mathbb{C} and the Stark conjectures over ATR fields relate the value of $\zeta'_{\mathfrak{f}}(\mathfrak{a}, s)$ at $s = 0$ to units in abelian extensions of K . "Smoothing" the partial zeta function by an auxiliary ideal \mathfrak{c} , denoted $\zeta'_{\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{c}}(\mathfrak{a}, s)$, gives an even simpler form of Stark:

Conjecture 0.1 (Stark). Suppose $\operatorname{Nm}(\mathfrak{c}) \geq n + 2$. Then there exists a unit η inside the abelian extension $K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K$ such that $\eta \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{c}\mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}}}$ and

$$\zeta'_{\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{c}}(\mathfrak{a}, 0) = \log |\eta^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}}| \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \exp(\zeta'_{\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{c}}(\mathfrak{a}, 0)) = |\eta^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}}|.$$

I use Shintani's method to construct sums \mathcal{L} of log gamma functions (derivatives of Barnes zeta functions at $s = 0$) that analytically encode the algebraic data of K , \mathfrak{f} , and \mathfrak{a} . Each \mathcal{L} corresponds with an infinite place of K and, to ensure well-definedness, I combine them in a weighted sum

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{complex}} + \mathcal{R} + \sum_{\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}} c_{\sigma} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\sigma}.$$

Theorem 0.2 (My main theorem). *Notation as above, $\zeta'_{\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{c}}(\mathfrak{a}^{-1}, 0) \equiv \mathcal{C} + \bar{\mathcal{C}} \pmod{2\pi i\mathbb{Z}}$.*

In view of Conjecture 0.1, my main theorem leads to a refinement of Stark over ATR fields.

Conjecture 0.3 (My refinement of Stark). I conjecture the analytic formula $\eta = \exp(\mathcal{C})$.

Exponentiation of the log gamma function yields the multiple gamma function, introduced by Barnes in a series of papers which culminated in [Bar04]. Therefore, my Conjecture 0.3 expresses the Stark unit η as essentially a product of (Barnes) multiple gamma functions.

Opportunities for Undergraduate Student Involvement

The following table summarizes refinements of the Stark conjectures over ATR fields. While Morain built upon [BCG23], I use alternative methods (Shintani’s method) like [RS09].

Authors	Year	Citation	Degrees of Refinement	Degrees of Numerical Examples
Ren, Sczech	2009	[RS09]	$n = 3$	$n = 3$
Bergeron, Charollois, Garcia	2023	[BCG23]	$n = 3$	$n = 3$
Morain	2024	[Mor24]	$n \geq 3$	$n = 3, 4, 5$
Black	2025	<i>my dissertation</i>	$n \geq 2$	<i>in progress</i>

My Git repository <https://github.com/kairi-black/ATR-Stark> contains (tested) code for computing η , pending numerical approximations of the log-gamma functions, denoted $\log \gamma_r$. In [Ren03], Ren approximates $\log \gamma_r$ for $r \leq 3$; this suffices for degree $n = 3$, but not $n > 3$. I would love to mentor undergraduates in two possible directions and update “*in progress*” in the table.

First, we could use Ruijsenaar’s formulas of [Rui00] to approximate $\log \gamma_r$ for all r . Second, we could generalize the approximations of [Ren03] beyond $r \leq 3$. Both directions have intermediate milestones that undergraduates could celebrate and report (e.g. the case of $r = 4$) and have opportunities to check progress against the literature (e.g. my dissertation, or formulas for $r = 1, 2$).

References

- [Bar04] Ernest W Barnes. On the theory of the multiple gamma function. *Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 19:374–425, 1904.
- [BCG23] Nicolas Bergeron, Pierre Charollois, and Luis E Garcia. Elliptic units for complex cubic fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04110*, 2023.
- [CN79] Pierrette Cassou-Noguès. Valeurs aux entiers négatifs des fonctions zêta et fonctions zêta p-adiques. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 51, 1979.
- [DK23] Samit Dasgupta and Mahesh Kakde. On the brumer–stark conjecture. *Annals of Mathematics*, 197(1):289–388, 2023.
- [Mor24] Pierre LL Morain. Elliptic units above fields with exactly one complex place. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.06094*, 2024.
- [Ren03] Tian Ren. *A Kronecker limit formula for complex cubic number fields*. Rutgers The State University of New Jersey, Graduate School-Newark, 2003.
- [RS09] Tian Ren and Robert Sczech. A refinement of stark’s conjecture over complex cubic number fields. *Journal of Number Theory*, 129, 2009.
- [Rui00] Simon NM Ruijsenaars. On barnes’ multiple zeta and gamma functions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 156(1):107–132, 2000.
- [Sta80] Harold M Stark. L-functions at $s = 1$. iv. first derivatives at $s = 0$. *Advances in Mathematics*, 35(3):197–235, 1980.