

Downcrossings and Local Time

Kai Lai Chung and Richard Durrett*

Dept. of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA

Let $\{W(t): t \geq 0\}$ be the standard Brownian motion with all paths continuous. Let $M(t) = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} W(s)$ be the maximum process and $Y(t) = M(t) - W(t)$ be reflecting Brownian motion. If $d_\varepsilon(t)$ is the number of times Y crosses down from ε to 0 before time t , then it was Paul Lévy's idea that

$$P\left\{\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon d_\varepsilon(t) = M(t) \text{ for all } t \geq 0\right\} = 1. \quad (1)$$

In [3] Itô and McKean demonstrated the almost sure convergence of $\varepsilon d_\varepsilon(t)$ using martingale methods. To identify the limit they used the hard fact, due to Lévy, that

$$P\left\{\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \text{measure } \{s: Y(s) < \varepsilon, s \leq t\} = M(t) \text{ for all } t \geq 0\right\} = 1 \quad (2)$$

and computed the second moment of the difference of the expressions in (1) and (2). In this paper, by examining the excursions in Brownian motion and using a new formula for the distribution of their maxima, we obtain a direct identification of the limit in (1) without using (2).

Let $T_x = \inf\{t: W(t) = x\}$, $T'_x = \inf\{t: Y(t) = x\}$. For $a > 0$ let $R_0^a = 0$, $R_1^a = T_a + T'_0 \circ \theta_{T_a}$, and for $n \geq 2$ let $R_n^a = R_{n-1}^a + R_1^a \circ \theta_{R_{n-1}^a}$. Here $\{\theta_t, t \geq 0\}$ is the usual collection of shift operators: $W(s, \theta_t \omega) = W(s+t, \omega)$ and if S is a random variable, $\theta_S = \theta_t$ on $\{S = t\}$. If S is a random variable, let $d_a(S) = \sup\{n: R_n^a \leq S\}$. $d_a(S)$ is the number of downcrossings of $(0, a)$ by Y before time S .

Scaling shows that $d_{\varepsilon/m}(T_a)$ and $d_\varepsilon(T_{ma})$ have the same distribution. Using the strong Markov property $d_\varepsilon(T_{ma})$ is the sum of m independent random variables with

the same distribution as $d_\varepsilon(T_a)$ so from the strong law of large numbers $\frac{\varepsilon}{m} d_{\varepsilon/m}(T_a)$ converges in probability to $E(\varepsilon d_\varepsilon(T_a))$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

To compute that $E(\varepsilon d_\varepsilon(T_a)) = a$ we examine the excursions in Brownian motion: (α, β) is an excursion interval of the path $Y(\cdot, \omega)$ if $\alpha < \beta$, $Y(\alpha, \omega) = 0 = Y(\beta, \omega)$ and $Y(s, \omega) > 0$ for $\alpha < s < \beta$; $\{Y(s, \omega), \alpha \leq s \leq \beta\}$ is called an excursion if

* Research supported in part by NSF grant GP 41710 and NSF graduate fellowship at Stanford University

(α, β) is an excursion interval – see [1] for a more complete discussion. Observe that we can count the number of down-crossings of $(0, \varepsilon)$ by Y before T_a by counting the number of excursions in $[0, T_a]$ with maxima $\geq \varepsilon$. The advantage of this viewpoint is that the excursions in $[0, T_a]$ when scaled and suitably enumerated are independent and have the same law. To state this result precisely we need to introduce the enumeration of the excursions given in [3] on page 75. Let $Z(\omega) = \{t: Y(t, \omega) = 0\}$. Since Y has continuous paths, Z is a closed subset of $[0, \infty)$. Let (γ_n, β_n) be the open interval of $[0, \infty) - Z$ containing the first number of the list $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{7}{4}, \frac{9}{4}, \frac{11}{4}, 3, \dots$ not included in Z or $\bigcup_{m < n} (\gamma_m, \beta_m)$.

Let $e_n(t) = Y(\gamma_n + t\Delta_n)/\Delta_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where $\Delta_n = \beta_n - \gamma_n$. Now, if we modify j_1 of (4) on page 76 of [3] to be a function of $[(Y(s), M(s)); s \leq \gamma_1]$, then the proof on pages 75–78 gives that $\{e_n; n \geq 1\}$ is independent of $\{(\gamma_n, \beta_n); n \geq 1\}$ and M , so if we let $N_0 = 0$ and for $n \geq 1, N_n = \inf\{k > N_{n-1}; \beta_k < T_a\}$ and define $e'_n = e_{N_n}$, then $\{e'_n; n \geq 1\}$ are independent, and each has the same law as e_1 . Further, if $\Delta'_n = \beta_{N_n} - \gamma_{N_n}$, then $\{e'_n; n \geq 1\}$ and $\{\Delta'_n; n \geq 1\}$ are independent since $\{e_n; n \geq 1\}$ and $\{\Delta_n; n \geq 1\}$ are, and N_n is determined by $\{(\gamma_n, \beta_n); n \geq 1\}$ and M .

With the preliminaries on independence established, we are ready to compute the desired expectation. If we let $M'_j = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} e'_j(s)$, then from [1] (4.5, p. 23) or [2] (5.1, p. 21) we have

$$F(x) = P(M'_j \leq x) = 1 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (4n^2 x^2 - 1) \exp(-2n^2 x^2)$$

and since e'_n and Δ'_n are independent,

$$E(\varepsilon d_\varepsilon(T_a)) = \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} P(M'_j > \varepsilon u^{-\frac{1}{2}}) P(\Delta'_j \in du).$$

Now the excursion intervals $(\gamma_{N_n}, \beta_{N_n})$ correspond to jumps of the passage time process $\{T_x; x \leq a\}$, so from the Lévy decomposition ((12), p. 27 in [3]) we know that $a(2\pi u^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} du$ is the expected number of Δ'_n with length in $(u, u + du)$, and using Fubini's theorem converts the above formula to

$$E(\varepsilon d_\varepsilon(T_a)) = 2a\varepsilon \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4n^2 \varepsilon^2}{u} - 1 \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2n^2 \varepsilon^2}{u}\right) (2\pi u^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} du.$$

Computing the above integral requires some care, because a haphazard integration term by term gives the absurdity $E(\varepsilon d_\varepsilon(T_a)) = 0$. However, if we integrate only on $[0, K\varepsilon^2]$, then for $n \geq K^{\frac{1}{2}}/2$ the summand in the integral is nonnegative on $[0, K\varepsilon^2]$ so we can invoke monotone convergence. To integrate the n -th term of the sum let $\alpha = 4n^2 \varepsilon^2$, change variables $x = \alpha/2u$ and integrate the second integral of the result by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned} 2a\varepsilon \int_0^{K\varepsilon^2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{u} - 1 \right) e^{-\alpha/2u} (2\pi u^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} du &= 2a\varepsilon (\pi\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\alpha/2K\varepsilon^2}^{\infty} (2x^{\frac{1}{2}} - x^{-\frac{1}{2}}) e^{-x} dx \\ &= a(8/\pi K)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2n^2/K}. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining term

$$\int_{K\varepsilon^2}^{\infty} [1 - F(\varepsilon n^{-\frac{1}{2}})] (2\pi u^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} du \leq (2/\pi K)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

so

$$E(\varepsilon d_\varepsilon(T_a)) = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} a(2/\pi K)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2n^2/K} \right].$$

Recognizing the term in brackets as Jacobi's theta function evaluated at $2/\pi K$ and using the identity $\theta(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta(t^{-1})$ we get

$$E(\varepsilon d_\varepsilon(T_a)) = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} a \theta \left(\frac{\pi K}{2} \right) = a.$$

References

1. Chung, K. L.: Excursions in Brownian motion. [To appear in Arkiv för Math.]
2. Durrett, R., Iglehart, D. L.: Functionals of Brownian meander and Brownian excursion. [To appear in Annals of Probability]
3. Ito, K., McKean, H. P., Jr.: Diffusion processes and their sample paths. 2nd. ed. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer

Received October 10, 1975; in revised form November 10, 1975