

# Exponential Convergence for One Dimensional Contact Processes<sup>\*</sup>)

Chen Jinwen <sup>\*\*\*)</sup> (陈金文)

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Normal University

Richard Durrett <sup>\*\*)</sup>

Cornell University, USA

Liu Xiufang <sup>\*\*\*)</sup> (刘秀芳)

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Normal University

Received March 23, 1988      Revised February 30, 1989

**Abstract.** The complete convergence theorem implies that starting from any initial distribution the one dimensional contact process converges to a limit as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition on the initial distribution for the convergence to occur with exponential rapidity.

## 1. Introduction

Since Harris (1974) introduced the contact process, many papers have been written on this topic, especially for the basic contact process in one dimension (see [1], [4], [6], [7], and [8]). In this paper we will consider the one dimensional nearest neighbor case, that is, a Markov process  $\xi_t \subset \mathbb{Z}$  with:

$$\begin{aligned} P(x \in \xi_{t+s} | \xi_t) &= \beta_x(\xi_t)s + o(s) \quad \text{when } x \notin \xi_t, \\ P(x \notin \xi_{t+s} | \xi_t) &= \delta_x(\xi_t)s + o(s) \quad \text{when } x \in \xi_t, \end{aligned}$$

where the birth and death rates are given by

$$\beta_x(\xi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |\xi \cap \{x-1, x+1\}| = 0, \\ \lambda & \text{if } |\xi \cap \{x-1, x+1\}| = 1, \\ \theta\lambda & \text{if } |\xi \cap \{x-1, x+1\}| = 2, \end{cases}$$

and  $\delta_x(\xi) = 1$ . Here  $\theta \geq 1$  is considered to be fixed while  $\lambda > 0$  is varied. When  $\theta = 2$  we get the *basic contact process*.

If  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\xi_t^A$  denote the process with  $\xi_0^A = A$ . We assume that  $\theta \geq 1$  so the system is *attractive*: if  $A \subset B$  then we can construct  $\xi_t^A$  and  $\xi_t^B$  on the same space with  $\xi_t^A \subset \xi_t^B$  for all  $t$ . A consequence of attractiveness is that  $\xi_t^x \Rightarrow \nu$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\Rightarrow$  denotes the weak convergence, which in this setting is just the convergence of finite dimensional distributions.  $\nu$  is a stationary distribution for the contact process, but may be the trivial one:  $\delta| = a$  pointmass on  $\phi$ . Let  $\lambda_c(\theta) = \inf\{\lambda : \nu \neq \delta\phi\}$ . It is known that if  $\theta \geq 1$  then  $\lambda_c(\theta) \leq \lambda_c(1) \leq 4$ , and that the following *complete convergence theorem* holds for  $\lambda > \lambda_c(\theta)$ :

<sup>\*</sup>) This work was discussed while the authors were visiting the Nankai Mathematics Institute in Tianjin.

<sup>\*\*)</sup> Partially supported by the National Science Foundation, the Army Research Office through the Mathematical Sciences Institute at Cornell University, and a Guggenheim fellowship.

<sup>\*\*\*)</sup> Research supported by the National Science Foundation of China.

$$(*) \quad \xi_t^\mu \Rightarrow P(\tau^\mu < \infty) \delta\phi + P(\tau^\mu = \infty) \nu.$$

Here  $\xi_t^\mu$  denotes the contact process with initial distribution  $\mu$  and  $\tau^\mu = \inf\{t: \xi_t^\mu = \phi\}$ . This result was proved in [1] for  $1 \leq \theta \leq 2$ . Using Theorem 4 in [5] it is easy to extend the proof to  $\theta > 2$ .

The purpose of this paper is to identify the initial distributions for which the convergence in (\*) occurs with exponential rapidity, that is, for which the following conclusion holds:

(\*\*) For any nonempty finite  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , there are constants  $C, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$|P(B \subset \xi_t^\mu) - P(\tau^\mu = \infty) \nu(\xi: \xi \supset B)| \leq C e^{-\gamma t}.$$

Since any event involving finitely many coordinates can be written in terms of the events  $\{\xi: B \subset \xi\}$ , (\*\*) gives the exponential convergence of finite dimensional distributions.

In [5] (see Theorem 2 on p. 383) it was shown that (\*\*) holds when  $\mu = \delta_z$ . In [6] (see p. 172) it was shown that if  $\mu$  is a product measure with density  $p$ , i.e.

$$\mu(\xi: B \subset \xi) = p^{|B|},$$

where  $|B|$  = the number of points, then (\*\*) holds when  $\theta = 2$  and  $\lambda \geq 14$ .

Before this work, the first and third authors showed that (\*\*) holds for one dimensional supercritical contact processes (i.e.  $\theta \geq 1, \lambda > \lambda_c(\theta)$ ) when  $\mu = \delta_A$ , where  $A$  is a finite set or  $A \supset k_0 \mathbb{Z}_+$  for some integer  $k_0$  with  $|k_0| \geq 1$ . The next result shows that exponential convergence always holds for product measures and includes the case mentioned above.

**Theorem.** Let  $\lambda > \lambda_c(\theta)$ . (\*\*) holds if and only if there are constants  $C, \delta, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

$$\mu(\xi: |\xi \cap [-n, n]| \leq \delta n, \xi \cap [-n, n]^c \neq \phi) \leq C e^{-\gamma n}.$$

Before explaining the intuition behind the theorem, we need to state some known results:

(1.1) Let  $\tau^A = \inf\{t: \xi_t^A = \phi\}$ . There are  $C, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}, P(t < \tau^A < \infty) \leq C e^{-\gamma t}$ .

(1.2) There are  $C, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $P(\tau^A < \infty) \leq C e^{-\gamma |A|}$  for all  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .

(1.3) Let  $r_t^A = \sup \xi_t^A, r_t^- = r_t^{(-\infty, 0]}$ , and  $\alpha(\lambda) = \lim E r_t^- / t$ , which is  $> 0$  for  $\lambda > \lambda_c(\theta)$ . Then for any  $a < \alpha$  and  $b > \alpha$  there are  $C, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$P(r_t^- \leq at) \leq C e^{-\gamma t} \text{ and } P(r_t^- \geq bt) \leq C e^{-\gamma t}.$$

These conclusions were proved first for  $1 \leq \theta \leq 2$  in [4] and extended to  $\theta > 2$  in [5]. Here and in what follows  $C, \gamma$  denote positive finite constants whose values are unimportant and will change from line to line.

To explain why the condition is necessary we begin by considering what happens when  $\mu(\xi: |\xi| = \infty) = 1$  and hence  $\xi_t^\mu \Rightarrow \nu$ . In this case if  $\mu(\xi: |\xi \cap [-n, n]| \leq \delta n) \geq e^{-\varepsilon n}$  then with probability at least  $e^{-\varepsilon n} e^{-\Gamma \delta n}$  all the particles in  $[-n, n]$  die by time 1 without giving birth and it follows from (1.3) that with probability at least  $e^{-\varepsilon n} e^{-\Gamma \delta n} - 2 C e^{-\gamma n/2\alpha}$  there will be no particles in  $[-n/3, n/3]$  at time

$t = n/2\alpha$ .

The second part of the condition  $\xi \cap [-n, n]^c \neq \phi$  is needed to take care of finite initial configurations. Our theorem implies that for a fixed finite initial configuration exponential convergence always occurs. If for example  $\xi_0$  is a single particle at  $X_0$ , reasoning as in the last paragraph shows that exponential convergence occurs if and only if  $P(|X_0| > n) \leq Ce^{-\gamma n}$ .

The proof of sufficiency, given in Section 2, is more technical. The key to the proof is a coupling result given in (2.1), from which the conclusion follows in a straightforward manner by using (1.1)–(1.3). The proof of necessity is given in Section 3.

**2. Proof of Sufficiency**

We begin by constructing the process. Define independent Poisson processes  $\{S_n^x : n \geq 1\}$ ,  $\{T_n^x : n \geq 1\}$ , and  $\{U_n^x : n \geq 1\}$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  with rates  $1, \lambda$ , and  $(\theta - 1)\lambda$  respectively. As the reader can probably guess from the rates, at times

- $S_n^x$  we kill a particle at  $x$  if one is present,
- $T_n^x$  a particle is born at  $x$  if  $x - 1$  or  $x + 1$  is occupied,
- $U_n^x$  a particle is born at  $x$  if  $x - 1$  and  $x + 1$  are both occupied.

It is easy to see that using this “graphical representation” we can construct for each  $\mu$  and  $s$  the process starting from distribution  $\mu$  at time  $s$ :  $\{\xi_t^{\mu, s}; t \geq s\}$ . See [5] for more details. In what follows it will be useful to use also the coordinate notation for our processes:  $\xi_t^{\mu, s}(x) = 1$  if  $x \in \xi_t^{\mu, s}, = 0$  otherwise.

To prove that our condition is sufficient we will prove several lemmas. The first one is a coupling property that is a special property of the nearest neighbor case. Let  $l_t^A = \inf \xi_t^A$ .

(2.1) **Lemma.** Let  $E_t = \{r_t^{(-\infty, -at]} \geq bt\}$ ,  $F_t = \{l_t^{[at, \infty)} \leq -bt\}$ ,  $G_t = \{\tau^{A \cap [-at, at]} > t\}$ , where  $a, b > 0$ . On  $E_t \cap F_t \cap G_t$ ,  $\xi_t^A(x) = \xi_t^B(x)$  for  $x \in [-bt, bt]$ .

*Proof.* This follows easily from the proof of Lemma 13 in [5].

Pick  $\varepsilon < \alpha/4$ , and let  $a = (\alpha - 2\varepsilon)$  and  $b = \varepsilon$ . It follows from (1.3), translation invariance, and symmetry that

$$(2.2) \quad P(l_t^{[at, \infty)} > -\varepsilon t) = P(r_t^{(-\infty, -at]} < \varepsilon t) \leq Ce^{-\gamma t}.$$

If  $\mu$  satisfies the hypothesis of our theorem, then there are  $\eta, C, \gamma \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \mu(\xi : |\xi \cap [-at, at]| \leq \eta t, \xi \cap [-at, at]^c \neq \phi) \leq Ce^{-\gamma t}.$$

With (2.1)–(2.3) being established the rest is straightforward. Let

$$M_t = \{\xi : |\xi \cap [-at, at]| > \eta t\}, \quad N_t = \{\xi \subset [-at, at]\},$$

$$p(t) = \left| \int \{P(B \subset \xi_t^A) - P(\tau^A = \infty)\} \nu(\xi : B \subset \xi) \mu(dA) \right|,$$

and for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  let

$$p_i(t) = \int_{\Omega_i} |P(B \subset \xi_t^A) - P(\tau^A = \infty)| \nu(\xi : B \subset \xi) \mu(dA),$$

where  $\Omega_1 = M_t^c \cap N_t^c$ ,  $\Omega_2 = M_t$ , and  $\Omega_3 = N_t$ . Clearly  $p(t) \leq p_1(t) + p_2(t) + p_3(t)$ . Since the integrand is a difference of two probabilities, it is  $\leq 1$  and (2.3) implies

$$p_1(t) \leq Ce^{-\gamma t}.$$

For the second term we observe

$$p_2(t) \leq \int_{M_t} \{ |P(B \subset \xi_t^A) - v(\xi : B \subset \xi)| + P(\tau^A < \infty) \} \mu(dA).$$

If  $A \in M_t$  then  $P(\tau^A \cap [-at, at] < \infty) \leq Ce^{-\gamma t}$  by (1.2); so it follows from (2.2) and (2.1) that  $p_2(t) \leq Ce^{-\gamma t}$ . To bound the third term we observe

$$p_3(t) \leq \int_{N_t} \{ |P(B \subset \xi_t^A) - P(t/2 < \tau^A)P(B \subset \xi_{t/2}^z)| + P(t/2 < \tau^A < \infty) + |P(B \subset \xi_{t/2}^z) - v(\xi : B \subset \xi)| P(\tau^A = \infty) \} \mu(dA).$$

The last two terms in the integrand are  $\leq Ce^{-\gamma t}$  by (1.1) and the fact that exponential convergence holds for  $\mu = \delta_z$ . To estimate the first term, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & |P(B \subset \xi_t^A) - P(t/2 < \tau^A)P(B \subset \xi_{t/2}^z)| \\ &= |P(B \subset \xi_t^A, \tau^A > t/2) - P(B \subset \xi_{t/2}^z, \tau^A > t/2)| \end{aligned}$$

because  $B \subset \xi_{t/2}^z$  and  $\tau^A > t/2$  are independent. When  $A \in N_t$ ,  $A \subset [-at, at]$  so (2.1) implies the last difference is smaller than

$$P(t/2 < \tau^A \leq t) + P(E_t^c) + P(F_t^c).$$

The last quantity is  $\leq Ce^{-\gamma t}$  by (1.1) and (2.2), and the proof is complete.

### 3. Proof of Necessity

The main step in proving necessity is to establish

(3.1) **Lemma.** *Suppose  $|A \cap [-n, n]| \leq \delta n$  and  $A \cap [-n, n]^c \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $t = n/2\alpha$ . Then*

$$P(\tau^A > t/2) P(0 \in \xi_{t/2}^z) - P(0 \in \xi_t^A) \geq Ke^{-(\theta\lambda+1)\delta n} - 2 P(r_t^- \geq 2\alpha t),$$

where  $K = e^{-1} P(\tau^0 = \infty) v(\xi : 0 \in \xi)$ .

Note. Of course,  $P(r_t^- \geq 2\alpha t) \leq Ce^{-\gamma t}$  by (1.3). We have written the result in the above form to emphasize that the error term,  $-2P(r_t^- \geq 2\alpha t)$ , does not depend on  $\delta$ .

Proof. Let  $B_n = \{\text{All particles in } A \cap [-n, n] \text{ die by time } 1 \text{ and do not give birth}\}$ ,

$$D_n = \{r_t^{(-\infty, -n]} < 0, l_t^{[n, \infty)} > 0\}$$

$x_n =$  the point in  $A \cap [-n, n]^c$  closest to  $1/3$ .

Since  $\tau^A > t/2$  and  $0 \in \xi_{t/2}^z$  are independent, we have

$$P(\tau^A > t/2) P(0 \in \xi_{t/2}^z) - P(0 \in \xi_t^A) = p_1(t) + p_2(t) + p_3(t),$$

where

$$p_1(t) = P(\tau^A > t/2, 0 \in \xi_{t/2}^z) - P(\tau^A > t/2, 0 \in \xi_{t/2}^z, B_n^c),$$

$$p_2(t) = P(\tau^A > t/2, 0 \in \xi_t^{z, t/2}, B_n^c) - P(\tau^A > t/2, 0 \in \xi_t^{z, t/2}, B_n^c \cup D_n^c),$$

$$p_3(t) = P(\tau^A > t/2, 0 \in \xi_t^{z, t/2}, B_n^c \cup D_n^c) - P(\tau^A > t/2, 0 \in \xi_t^A).$$

On  $B_n \cap D_n$  or  $\{0 \notin \xi_t^{z, t/2}\}, 0 \notin \xi_t^A$  so  $p_3(t) \geq 0$ . Clearly

$$p_2(t) \geq -P(D_n^c) \geq -2P(r_t^- \geq n),$$

by translation invariance. As for the remaining term,

$$p_1(t) = P(\tau^A > t/2, 0 \in \xi_t^{z, t/2}, B_n)$$

$$\geq P(\text{the particle at } x_n \text{ does not die by time } t, \xi_{t/2}^{x_n, t} \neq \phi, 0 \in \xi_t^{z, t/2}, B_n)$$

$$\geq e^{-1} P(\tau^0 = \infty) \nu(\xi : 0 \in \xi) \{e^{-\theta \lambda} (1 - e^{-1})\}^{|\Lambda \cap [-n, n]|},$$

since the four events are independent. Replacing  $1 - e^{-1}$  by  $e^{-1}$  gives the desired bound.

to prove necessity now we write

$$P(\tau^\mu = \infty) \nu(\xi : 0 \in \xi) - P(0 \in \xi_t^\mu) = q_1(t) + q_2(t) + q_3(t),$$

where

$$q_1(t) = P(\tau^\mu = \infty) \nu(\xi : 0 \in \xi) - P(\tau^\mu > t/2) \nu(\xi : 0 \in \xi),$$

$$q_2(t) = P(\tau^\mu > t/2) \nu(\xi : 0 \in \xi) - P(\tau^\mu > t/2) P(0 \in \xi_t^{\mu, t/2}),$$

$$q_3(t) = P(\tau^\mu > t/2) P(0 \in \xi_t^{\mu, t/2}) - P(0 \in \xi_t^\mu).$$

By (1.1),  $q_1(t) \geq -Ce^{-\gamma t}$ . Exponential convergence for the case  $\mu = \delta_z$  implies that

$$q_2(t) \geq -Ce^{-\gamma t}.$$

Notice that in both cases the constants  $C, \gamma$  do not depend on  $\mu$ . Using (3.1) on the third term we see that

$$q_3(t) \geq Ke^{-(\theta \lambda + 1)\delta n} \mu(A : |A \cap [-n, n]| < \delta n, A \cap [-n, n]^c \neq \phi) - 2P(r_t^- \geq 2\alpha t)$$

and the proof of necessity is complete.

### References

- [ 1 ] Durrett, R., On the growth of one dimensional contact processes, *Ann. Prob.*, **8**(1980), 890 — 907.
- [ 2 ] Durrett, R., Oriented percolation in two dimensions, *Ann. Prob.*, **12**(1984), 999 — 1040.
- [ 3 ] Durrett, R., Lecture Notes on Particle Systems and Percolation, Wadsworth Pub. Co., Pacific Grove CA, 1988.
- [ 4 ] Durrett, R. and Griffeath, D., Supercritical contact processes on  $Z$ , *Ann. Prob.*, **11** (1983), 1 — 15.
- [ 5 ] Gray, L., Duality for general attractive spin systems with application in one dimension, *Ann. Prob.*, **14**(1986), 371 — 396.
- [ 6 ] Griffeath, D., The basic contact process, *Stoch. Processes Appl.*, **11**(1981), 151 — 185.
- [ 7 ] Harris, T. E., Contact interactions on a lattice, *Ann. Prob.*, **2**(1974), 969 — 988.
- [ 8 ] Liggett, T. M., Interacting Particle Systems, Springer Verlag, New York, 1985.